



RFQ 13-90-048 County-Wide Labor and Employment Consultation and Representation

**MASTER AGREEMENT FOR LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT  
CONSULTATION AND REPRESENTATION**

BETWEEN



COOK COUNTY GOVERNMENT

AND

HENNESSY & ROACH, P.C.

APPROVED AS AMENDED  
BY THE BOARD OF COOK COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

APR 17 2013

COM \_\_\_\_\_

# MASTER AGREEMENT FOR LEGAL SERVICES

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>TERMS AND CONDITIONS</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>ARTICLE 1) INCORPORATION OF BACKGROUND</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>ARTICLE 2) DEFINITIONS</b> .....	<b>1</b>
a) Definitions.....	1
b) Interpretation.....	2
c) Incorporation of Exhibits .....	3
<b>ARTICLE 3) DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF CONSULTANT</b> .....	<b>3</b>
a) Scope of Services .....	3
b) Letter of Engagement.....	4
c) Standard of Performance .....	4
d) Personnel .....	5
e) Minority and Women's Business Enterprises Commitment.....	6
f) Insurance .....	6
g) Indemnification .....	10
h) Confidentiality and Ownership of Documents.....	10
i) Examination of Records and Audits.....	11
j) Subcontracting or Assignment of Agreement or Agreement Funds .....	12
<b>ARTICLE 4) TERM OF PERFORMANCE</b> .....	<b>13</b>
a) Term of Performance .....	13
b) Timeliness of Performance .....	13
c) Agreement Extension Option.....	13
<b>ARTICLE 5) COMPENSATION</b> .....	<b>14</b>
a) Basis of Payment .....	14
b) Method of Payment .....	14
c) Funding.....	14

d)	Non-Appropriation.....	14
e)	Taxes.....	15
ARTICLE 6) DISPUTES .....		15
ARTICLE 7) COMPLIANCE WITH ALL LAWS.....		15
ARTICLE 8) SPECIAL CONDITIONS .....		16
a)	Warranties and Representations.....	16
b)	Ethics .....	17
c)	Joint and Several Liability .....	17
d)	Business Documents .....	17
e)	Conflicts of Interest .....	17
f)	Non-Liability of Public Officials .....	18
ARTICLE 9) EVENTS OF DEFAULT, REMEDIES, TERMINATION, SUSPENSION AND RIGHT TO OFFSET .....		19
a)	Events of Default Defined .....	19
b)	Remedies.....	20
c)	Early Termination.....	21
d)	Suspension .....	22
e)	Right to Offset.....	23
f.)	Delays .....	23
g.)	Prepaid Fees.....	23
ARTICLE 10) GENERAL CONDITIONS .....		24
a)	Entire Agreement.....	24
b)	Counterparts.....	25
c)	Modifications and Amendments .....	25
d)	Governing Law and Jurisdiction .....	25
e)	Severability .....	25
f)	Assigns .....	25
g)	Cooperation .....	26
h)	Waiver .....	26
i)	Independent Contractor .....	26
ARTICLE 11) NOTICES .....		27

**ARTICLE 12) AUTHORITY .....28**

**List of Exhibits**

- Exhibit 1 Scope of Services
- Exhibit 2 Schedule of Compensation
- Exhibit 3 Evidence of Insurance
- Exhibit 4 Board Authorization
- Exhibit 5 Cook County Travel Policy
- Exhibit 6 Sample Engagement Letter
- Exhibit 7 Economic Disclosure Statements (EDS)

## **AGREEMENT**

This Master Agreement for Legal Services ("Agreement") is made and entered into by and between the County of Cook, a public body corporate of the State of Illinois, hereinafter referred to as "County" and Hennessy & Roach, P.C., doing business in the State of Illinois hereinafter referred to as "Consultant", pursuant to authorization by the Cook County Board of Commissioners on the 17th day of April, 2013, as evidenced by Board Authorization letter attached hereto as EXHIBIT "4".

## **BACKGROUND**

The County of Cook issued a Request for Qualifications 13-90-048 for County-Wide Labor and Employment Consultation and Representation ("RFQ") on February 11, 2013. Qualifications were evaluated in accordance with the evaluation criteria published in the RFQ. The Consultant was selected based on the qualifications submitted and evaluated by the County representatives. Consultant represents that it has the professional experience and expertise to provide the necessary services and further warrants that it is ready, willing and able to perform in accordance with the terms and conditions as set forth in this Agreement.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, the County and Consultant agree as follows:

## **TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

### **ARTICLE 1) INCORPORATION OF BACKGROUND**

The Background information set forth above is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth here.

### **ARTICLE 2) DEFINITIONS**

#### **a) Definitions**

The following words and phrases have the following meanings for purposes of this Agreement:

**"Agreement"** means this Master Agreement for Legal Services, including all exhibits attached to it and incorporated in it by reference, and all amendments, modifications or revisions made in accordance with its terms.

**"Chief Procurement Officer"** means the Chief Procurement Officer for the County of Cook and any representative duly authorized in writing to act on his behalf.

**"Consultant"** means the company, firm, or other entity identified in this Agreement, and such successors or assigns, if any, as may be authorized to perform the Services required by the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

**"Deliverables"** means documents, in any format (electronic or hard copy) requested by the Employer that the Consultant is required to provide under this Agreement or the Letter of Engagement, or that the Consultant would generally provide pursuant to the Illinois Supreme Court Rules or the Illinois Code of Civil Procedure, and may include status reports, briefs, pleadings, discovery, abstracts, motions and memorandum, contracts, agreements, written recommendations, analyses and all other.

**"Employer"** means the Cook County various agencies.

**"Letter of Engagement"** means a document issued by the Employer to the Consultant pursuant to this Agreement that authorizes the Services and/or Deliverables to be provided by the Consultant.

**"Services"** means, those legal services generally described in the RFQ, and Article 3 of this Agreement, and those Services specifically described in the Letter of Engagement, which the Consultant shall perform and complete in accordance with the standard of performance set forth in this Agreement.

**"Subcontractor"** means any person or entity, but shall not include any other attorney or law firm which is not designated in or a party to the Letter of Engagement, with whom Consultant contracts to provide any part of the Services, including subcontractors and sub consultants of any tier, suppliers and materials providers, whether or not in privity with Consultant.

**b) Interpretation**

i) The term **"include"** (in all its forms) means "include, without limitation" unless the context clearly states otherwise.

- ii) All references in this Agreement to Articles, Sections or Exhibits, unless otherwise expressed or indicated are to the Articles, Sections or Exhibits of this Agreement.
- iii) Words importing persons include firms, associations, partnerships, trusts, corporations and other legal entities, including public bodies, as well as natural persons.
- iv) Any headings preceding the text of the Articles and Sections of this Agreement, and any table of contents or marginal notes appended to it, are solely for convenience or reference and do not constitute a part of this Agreement, nor do they affect the meaning, construction or effect of this Agreement.
- v) Words importing the singular include the plural and vice versa. Words of the masculine gender include the correlative words of the feminine and neuter genders.
- vi) All references to a number of days mean calendar days, unless expressly indicated otherwise.

**c) Incorporation of Exhibits**

The following attached Exhibits are made a part of this Agreement:

- Exhibit 1 Scope of Services
- Exhibit 2 Schedule of Compensation
- Exhibit 3 Evidence of Insurance
- Exhibit 4 Board Authorization
- Exhibit 5 Cook County Travel Policy
- Exhibit 6 Sample Letter of Engagement
- Exhibit 7 Economic Disclosure Statement (EDS)

**ARTICLE 3) DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF CONSULTANT**

**a) Scope of Services**

This description of Services is intended to be general in nature and is neither a complete description of Consultant's Services nor a limitation of the Services that Consultant is to provide under this Agreement. The Services shall include, but are not limited to, those described in Exhibit 1, Scope of Services, which is attached to this Agreement and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. Consultant must provide the Services in accordance with the standards of performance set forth in Section 3c. The Consultant shall perform the Services, which are more specifically described in the Letter of Engagement. A sample Letter of Engagement is attached hereto as Exhibit 6.

**b) Letter of Engagement**

In carrying out its Services, Consultant must prepare or provide to the Employer various Deliverables and provide all other Services set forth in the Letter of Engagement.

The County may reject Deliverables or Services that do not meet the standard of performance as stated in Section 3(c) herein, or do not meet the standards, stated purposes, or satisfy the scope of work set forth in the Letter of Engagement. If the County determines that Consultant has failed to comply with the foregoing standards, it has 30 days from the discovery to notify Consultant of its failure. If Consultant does not correct the failure, and it is possible to do so, within 30 days after receipt of notice from the County specifying the failure, then the County, by written notice, may treat the failure as a default of this Agreement under Article 9.

**c) Standard of Performance**

Consultant must perform all Services required of it under this Agreement with that degree of skill, care and diligence normally shown by attorneys performing services of a scope and purpose and magnitude comparable with the nature of the Services to be provided under this Agreement and Letter of Engagement. Consultant acknowledges that it is entrusted with or has access to valuable and confidential information and records of the County and with respect to that information, Consultant agrees to be held to the standard of care of a fiduciary, and shall observe all Illinois Supreme Court Rules and common-law concerning "attorney-client privilege".

Consultant must assure that all Services that require the exercise of professional skills or judgment are accomplished by professionals qualified and competent in the applicable discipline and appropriately licensed, if required by law. Consultant shall be licensed to practice law in Illinois. Consultant remains responsible for the professional and technical accuracy of all Services furnished, whether by Consultant or its Subcontractors or others on its behalf. All Deliverables must be prepared in a form and content satisfactory to the Employer and delivered in a timely manner consistent with the requirements of this Agreement.

If Consultant fails to comply with the foregoing standards, Consultant must perform again, at its own expense, all Services required to be re-performed as a direct or indirect result of that failure. Any review, approval, acceptance or payment for any of the Services by the County or Employer does not relieve Consultant of its responsibility for the professional skill and care and technical accuracy of its Services required under this Agreement and the Letter of Engagement. This provision in no way limits the County's rights against Consultant either under this Agreement, at law or in equity.

**d) Personnel**

**i) Adequate Staffing**

Consultant must, upon receiving a fully executed copy of this Agreement, assign and maintain during the term of this Agreement and any extension of it an adequate staff of competent personnel that is fully equipped, licensed as appropriate, available as needed, qualified and assigned exclusively to perform the Services. Consultant must include among its staff the Key Personnel and positions as identified in Exhibit 1. The level of staffing may be revised from time to time by notice in writing from Consultant to the County and with written consent of the County, which consent the County will not withhold unreasonably. If the County fails to object to the revision within 14 days after receiving the notice, then the revision will be considered accepted by the County.

**ii) Key Personnel**

Consultant must not reassign or replace Key Personnel without the written consent of the County, or the Employer if the Employer and Consultant have executed a Letter of Engagement, which consent the County and Employer shall not unreasonably withhold. "Key Personnel" means those job titles and the persons assigned to those positions in accordance with the provisions of this Section 3.d (ii). The County or Employer may at any time in writing notify Consultant that the County will no longer accept performance of Services under this Agreement by one or more Key Personnel listed. Upon that notice Consultant must immediately suspend the services of the key person or persons and must replace him or them in accordance with the terms of this Agreement. A list of Key Personnel is found in Exhibit 1, Scope of Services.

**iii) Salaries and Wages**

Consultant and Subcontractors, if any, must pay all salaries and wages due all employees performing Services under this Agreement or Letter of Engagement, unconditionally and at least once a month without deduction or rebate on any account, except only for those payroll deductions that are mandatory by law or are permitted under applicable law and regulations. If in the performance of this Agreement Consultant underpays any such salaries or wages, the Comptroller for the County may withhold, out of payments due to Consultant, an amount sufficient to pay to employees underpaid the difference between the salaries or wages required to be paid under this Agreement and the salaries or wages actually paid these employees for the total number of hours worked. The amounts withheld may be disbursed by the Comptroller for and on account of Consultant to the respective employees to whom they are due. The parties acknowledge that this Section 3.4(c) (iii) is solely for the benefit of the County and that it does not grant any third party beneficiary rights.

**e) Minority and Women's Business Enterprises Commitment**

In the performance of this Agreement, including the procurement and lease of materials or equipment, Consultant must abide by the minority and women's business enterprise commitment requirements of the Cook County Ordinance, (Article IV, Section 34-260-276) except to the extent waived by the Compliance Director. Consultant's completed MBE/WBE Utilization Plan evidencing its compliance with this requirement are a part of this Agreement, in Section 1 of the Economic Disclosure Statement, upon acceptance by the Compliance Director. Consultant must utilize minority and women's business enterprises at the greater of the amounts committed to by the Consultant for this Agreement in accordance with Section 1 of the Economic Disclosure Statement.

**f) Insurance**

Consultant must provide and maintain at Consultant's own expense, during the term of this Agreement and any time period following expiration if Consultant is required to return and perform any of the Services or Additional Services under this Agreement, the insurance coverage and requirements specified below, insuring all operations related to this Agreement.

**i) Insurance To Be Provided**

**Coverage**

**(a) Workers Compensation Insurance**

Workers' Compensation shall be in accordance with the laws of the State of Illinois or any other applicable jurisdiction.

The Workers Compensation policy shall also include the following provisions:

- (1) Employers' Liability coverage with a limit of  
\$1,000,000 each Accident  
\$1,000,000 each Employee  
\$1,000,000 Policy Limit for Disease
- (2) Broad form all states coverage.

(b) **Commercial General Liability Insurance**

The Commercial General Liability shall be on an occurrence form basis to cover bodily injury and property damage including loss of use.

General Liability limits shall not be less than \$1,000,000 per occurrence and \$2,000,000 aggregate combined single limit for bodily injury and property damage. The General Liability policy shall include, without limitation the following coverage:

- (a) All premises and operations;
- (b) Independent Contractor's Protection Liability;
- (c) Contractual Liability;
- (d) Products/Completed Operations;
- (e) Employees included as additional insured;
- (f) Broad Form Property Damage Liability;
- (g) Cross Liability.

(c) **Comprehensive Automobile Liability Insurance**

When any motor vehicles are used in connection with the Services to be performed, Vendor shall secure Comprehensive Automobile Liability Insurance to cover all owned, non-owned and hired automobiles, trucks and trailers. The Comprehensive Automobile Liability Insurance limits shall not be less than the following:

- (a) Liability - All Autos: Bodily Injury & Property Damage - \$1,000,000 per Occurrence
- (b) Uninsured/Underinsured Motorists: Per Illinois Requirements

(d) **Umbrella/Excess Liability Insurance**

In addition to the coverage and limits specified above, Vendor shall secure and maintain a limit of liability no less than:

- (a) \$3,000,000 each occurrence for all liability

(e) **Professional Errors & Omissions Insurance**

Vendor shall secure Professional Liability insurance covering any and all claims arising out of the performance or nonperformance of professional services for the County under this Agreement. This professional liability insurance shall remain in force for the life of the Vendor's obligations under this Agreement, and shall have a limit of liability of not less than \$5,000,000 with a deductible of not more than \$100,000. If any such policy is written on a claims made form, the retroactive date shall be prior to or coincident with the effective date of this contract. Claims made form coverage shall be maintained by the Vendor for a minimum of three years following the expiration or early termination of this contract and the Vendor shall annually provide the County with proof of renewal.

**Additional requirements**

(a) **Additional Insured**

Cook County, its officials, employees and agents shall be named as additional insured under the Commercial General Liability, Automobile and Umbrella/Excess insurance policies.

(b) **Qualification of Insurers**

All insurance companies providing coverage shall be licensed or approved by the Department of Insurance, State of Illinois, and shall have a financial rating no lower than (A-) VII as listed in A.M. Best's Key Rating Guide, current edition or interim report. Companies with ratings lower than (A-) VII will be acceptable only upon written consent of the Cook County Department of Risk Management.

(c) **Insurance Notices**

All policies of insurance which may be required under terms of this Contract shall be endorsed to provide that the insurance company shall notify the Office of the Chief Procurement Officer at least 30 days prior to the effective date of any cancellation or modification of such policies. Prior to the date on which Vendor commences performance of its part of the work, Vendor shall furnish to the County certificates of insurance maintained by Vendor. The receipt of any

certificate of insurance does not constitute agreement by the County that the insurance requirements have been fully met or that the insurance policies indicated on the certificate of insurance are in compliance with insurance required above.

In no event shall any failure of the County to receive certificates of insurance required hereof or to demand receipt of such Certificates of Insurance be construed as a waiver of Vendor's obligations to obtain insurance pursuant to these insurance requirements.

ii) **Additional Requirements**

Consultant must furnish the County of Cook, Cook County, Office of the Chief Procurement Officer, 118 N, Clark St., Room 1018, Chicago, IL 60602, original Certificates of Insurance, or such similar evidence, to be in force on the date of this Agreement, and Renewal Certificates of Insurance, or such similar evidence, if the coverage have an expiration or renewal date occurring during the term of this Agreement. Consultant must submit evidence of insurance on the County Insurance Certificate Form (copy attached as Exhibit 2 or equivalent prior to Agreement award. The receipt of any certificate does not constitute agreement by the County that the insurance requirements in this Agreement have been fully met or that the insurance policies indicated on the certificate are in compliance with all Agreement requirements. The failure of the County to obtain certificates or other insurance evidence from Consultant is not a waiver by the County of any requirements for Consultant to obtain and maintain the specified coverage. Consultant must advise all insurers of the provisions in this Agreement regarding insurance. Non-conforming insurance does not relieve Consultant of the obligation to provide insurance as specified in this Agreement. Nonfulfillment of the insurance conditions may constitute a violation of this Agreement, and the County retains the right to terminate this Agreement or to suspend this Agreement until proper evidence of insurance is provided.

The insurance must provide for 60 days prior written notice to be given to the County in the event coverage is substantially changed, canceled or non-renewed. All deductibles or self-insured retentions on referenced insurance coverage must be borne by Consultant. Consultant agrees that insurers waive their rights of subrogation against the County of Cook, its employees, elected officials, agents or representatives.

(1) The coverage and limits furnished by Consultant in no way limit Consultant's liabilities and responsibilities specified within this Agreement or by law. Any insurance or self-insurance

programs maintained by the County of Cook apply in excess of and do not contribute with insurance provided by Consultant under this Agreement.

(2) The required insurance is not limited by any limitations expressed in the indemnification language in this Agreement or any limitation placed on the indemnity in this Agreement given as a matter of law.

(3) Consultant must require all Subcontractors to provide the insurance required in this Agreement, or Consultant may provide the coverage for Subcontractors. All Subcontractors are subject to the same insurance requirements as Consultant unless otherwise specified in this Agreement. If Consultant or Subcontractor desires additional coverage, the party desiring the additional coverage is responsible for its acquisition and cost.

(4) The County's Risk Management Office maintains the rights to modify, delete, alter or change these requirements. "**Risk Management Office**" means the Risk Management Office, which is under the direction of the Director of Risk Management and is charged with reviewing and analyzing insurance and related liability matters for the County.

**g) Indemnification**

The Consultant covenants and agrees to indemnify and save harmless the County and its commissioners, officials, employees, agents and representatives, and their respective heirs, successors and assigns, from and against any and all costs, expenses, attorney's fees, losses, damages and liabilities incurred or suffered directly or indirectly from or attributable to any claims arising out of or incident to the performance or nonperformance of this Agreement by the Consultant, or the acts or omissions of the officers, agents, employees, contractors, subcontractors, licensees or invitees of the Consultant. The Consultant expressly understands and agrees that any insurance coverage, which the Consultant is required to provide to the County, shall in no way limit the responsibility to indemnify the County as hereinabove provided.

**h) Confidentiality and Ownership of Documents**

Consultant acknowledges and agrees that information regarding this Agreement is confidential and shall not be disclosed, directly, indirectly or by implication, or be used by Consultant in any way, whether during the term of this Agreement or at any time thereafter, except solely as required in the course of Consultant's performance hereunder. Consultant shall comply with the applicable laws and Illinois Supreme Court Rules, concerning attorney-client privilege and privacy affecting the County or Employer and will not disclose any of County's records, materials, or other data to any third party. Consultant shall not have the right to compile and distribute statistical analyses and reports utilizing data derived from information or data obtained from County without the prior

written approval of County. In the event such approval is given, any such reports published and distributed by Consultant shall be furnished to County without charge.

All documents, data, studies, reports, work product or product created as a result of the performance of this Agreement or Letter of Engagement shall be the property of the County of Cook. It shall be a breach of this Agreement for the Consultant to reproduce or use any documents, data, studies, reports, work product or product obtained from the County of Cook or any Documents created hereby, whether such reproduction or use is for Consultant's own purposes or for those of any third party. During the performance of the Agreement Consultant shall be responsible of any loss or damage to the Documents while they are in Consultant's possession, and any such loss or damage shall be restored at the expense of the Consultant. The County and its designees shall be afforded full access to the Documents and the work at all times.

**i) Examination of Records and Audits**

The Consultant agrees that the Cook County Auditor or any of its duly authorized representatives shall, until expiration of three (3) years after the final payment under this Agreement, have access and the right to examine any books, documents, papers, canceled checks, bank statements, purveyor's and other invoices, and records of the Consultant related to this Agreement, or to Consultant's compliance with any term, condition or provision thereof. The Consultant shall be responsible for establishing and maintaining records sufficient to document the costs associated with performance under the terms of this Agreement:

The Consultant further agrees that it shall include in all of its subcontracts hereunder a provision to the effect that the subcontractor agrees that the Cook County Auditor or any of its duly authorized representatives shall, until expiration of three (3) years after final payment under the subcontract, have access and the right to examine any books, documents, papers, canceled checks, bank statements, purveyor's and other invoices and records of such subcontractor involving transactions relating to the subcontract, or to such subcontractor's compliance with any term, condition or provision thereunder or under this Agreement.

In the event the Consultant receives payment under this Agreement, reimbursement for which is later disallowed by the County, the Consultant shall promptly refund the disallowed amount to the County on request, or at the County's option, the County may credit the amount disallowed from the next payment due or to become due to the Consultant under any contract with the County.

To the extent this Agreement pertains to the Letter of Engagement which may be reimbursable under the Medicaid or Medicare Programs, Consultant shall retain and make available upon request, for a period of four (4) years after furnishing services pursuant to this Agreement, the contract, books, documents and records which are necessary to certify the nature and extent of

the costs of such services if requested by the Secretary of Health and Human Services or the Comptroller General of the United States or any of their duly authorized representatives. If Consultant carries out any of its duties under the Agreement through a subcontract with a related organization involving a value of cost of \$10,000.00 or more over a 12 month period, Consultant will cause such subcontract to contain a clause to the effect that, until the expiration of four years after the furnishing of any service pursuant to said subcontract, the related organization will make available upon request of the Secretary of Health and Human Services or the Comptroller General of the United States or any of their duly authorized representatives, copies of said subcontract and any books, documents, records and other data of said related organization that are necessary to certify the nature and extent of such costs. This paragraph relating to the retention and production of documents is included because of possible application of Section 1861(v) (1) (I) of the Social Security Act to this Agreement; if this Section should be found to be inapplicable, then this paragraph shall be deemed inoperative and without force and effect.

**j) Subcontracting or Assignment of Agreement or Agreement Funds**

Once awarded, this Agreement shall not be subcontracted or assigned, in whole or in part, without the advance written approval of the Chief Procurement Officer, which approval shall be granted or withheld at the sole discretion of the Chief Procurement Officer. The Consultant shall not subcontract any Services set forth in the Letter of Engagement to any outside attorney or law firm which is not a party to the Letter of Engagement. In no case, however, shall such approval relieve the Consultant from its obligations or change the terms of this Agreement. The Consultant shall not transfer or assign any Agreement funds or any interest therein due or to become due without the advance written approval of the Chief Procurement Officer. The unauthorized subcontracting or assignment of this Agreement, in whole or in part, or the unauthorized transfer or assignment of any Agreement funds, either in whole or in part, or any interest therein, which shall be due or are to become due the Consultant shall have no effect on the County and are null and void.

Prior to the commencement of this Agreement or the commencement of Services pursuant to the Letter of Engagement, the Consultant shall identify in writing to the Chief Procurement Officer and the Employer, the names of any and all subcontractors it intends to use in the performance of this Agreement. The Chief Procurement Officer shall have the right to disapprove any subcontractor. Identification of subcontractors to the Chief Procurement Officer shall be in addition to any communications with County offices other than the Chief Procurement Officer. All subcontractors shall be subject to the terms of this Agreement. Consultant shall incorporate into all subcontracts all of the provisions of this Agreement which affect such subcontract. Copies of subcontracts shall be provided to the Chief Procurement Officer upon request.

The Consultant must disclose the name and business address of each subcontractor, attorney, lobbyist, accountant, consultant and any other person or entity that the Consultant has retained or

expects to retain in connection with the matter, as well as the nature of the relationship, and the total amount of the fees paid or estimated to be paid. The Consultant is not required to disclose employees who are paid or estimated to be paid. The Consultant is not required to disclose employees who are paid solely through the Consultant's regular payroll. "Lobbyist" means any person or entity who undertakes to influence any legislation or administrative action on behalf of any person or entity other than: 1) a not-for-profit entity, on an unpaid basis, or (2), himself. "Lobbyist" also means any person or entity any part of whose duties as an employee of another includes undertaking to influence any legislative or administrative action. If the Consultant is uncertain whether a disclosure is required under this Section, the Consultant must either ask the County, whether disclosures are required or make the disclosure.

The County reserves the right to prohibit any person from entering any County facility for any reason. All contractors and subcontractors of the Consultant shall be accountable to the Chief Procurement Officer or his designee while on any County property and shall abide by all rules and regulations imposed by the County.

#### **ARTICLE 4) TERM OF PERFORMANCE**

##### **a) Term of Performance**

This Agreement takes effect as of May 1, 2013 ("Effective Date") through April 30, 2015 or until this Agreement is terminated in accordance with its terms, whichever occurs first.

##### **b) Timeliness of Performance**

i) Consultant must use its best efforts to perform the Services t within the time limits required under this Agreement, or the Letter of Engagement, pursuant to the provisions of Section 4.a and Exhibit 1. Further, Consultant acknowledges that **TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE** and that the failure of Consultant to comply with the time limits described in this Section 4.a may result in economic or other losses to the County.

ii) Neither Consultant nor Consultant's agents, employees or Subcontractors are entitled to any damages from the County, nor is any party entitled to be reimbursed by the County, for damages, charges or other losses or expenses incurred by Consultant by reason of delays or hindrances in the performance of the Services, whether or not caused by the County.

##### **c) Agreement Extension Option**

The Chief Procurement Officer may at any time before this Agreement expires elect to extend this Agreement for up to 2 additional one-year periods under the same terms and conditions as

this original Agreement, except as provided otherwise in this Agreement, by notice in writing to Consultant. After notification by the Chief Procurement Officer, this Agreement must be modified to reflect the time extension in accordance with the provisions of Section 10.c.

## **ARTICLE 5) COMPENSATION**

### **a) Basis of Payment**

The County will pay Consultant according to the Schedule of Compensation in the attached Exhibit 2 for the successful completion of services, or as negotiated in the Letter of Engagement.

### **b) Method of Payment**

All billing statements or statements of work submitted by the Consultant shall be in accordance with the Letter of Engagement. All billing statements or statements of work shall reflect the amounts invoiced by and the amounts paid to the Consultant as of the date of the invoice, and shall be submitted together with a properly completed County Voucher form (29A). Billing statements or statements of work for new charges shall not include "past due" amounts, if any, which amounts must be set forth on a separate billing statement or statement of work. No payments shall be made with respect to billing statement or statement of work, which do not include the County Voucher form or which otherwise fail to comply with the requirements of this paragraph. Consultant shall not be entitled to invoice the County for any late fees or other penalties.

### **c) Funding**

The Board of Commissioners has approved a not to exceed fee for Services provided under this Agreement. Payments under this Agreement must not exceed the not to exceed fee approved by the Board of Commissioners without a written amendment in accordance with Section 10.c. The Consultant shall comply with all billing guidelines, budgets and not exceed fees set forth in the Letter of Engagement.

### **d) Non-Appropriation**

If no funds or insufficient funds are appropriated and budgeted in any fiscal period of the County for payments to be made under this Agreement, then the County will notify Consultant in writing of that occurrence, and this Agreement will terminate on the earlier of the last day of the fiscal period for which sufficient appropriation was made or whenever the funds appropriated for payment under this Agreement are exhausted. Payments for Services completed to the date of

notification will be made to Consultant. No payments will be made or due to Consultant and under this Agreement beyond those amounts appropriated and budgeted by the County to fund payments under this Agreement.

**e) Taxes**

Federal Excise Tax does not apply to materials purchased by the County by virtue of Exemption Certificate No. 36-75-0038K. Illinois Retailers' Occupation Tax, Use Tax and Municipal Retailers' Occupation Tax do not apply to Letter of Engagement, materials or services purchased by the County by virtue of statute. The price or prices quoted herein shall include any and all other federal and/or state, direct and/or indirect taxes which apply to this Agreement. The County's State of Illinois Sales Tax Exemption Identification No. is E-9998-2013-05.

**ARTICLE 6) DISPUTES**

Any dispute arising under this Agreement between the County and Consultant shall be decided by the Chief Procurement Officer. The complaining party shall submit a written statement detailing the dispute and specifying the specific relevant Agreement provision(s) to the Chief Procurement Officer. Upon request of the Chief Procurement Officer, the party complained against shall respond to the complaint in writing within five days of such request. The Chief Procurement Officer will reduce her decision to writing and mail or otherwise furnish a copy thereof to the Consultant. The decision of the Chief Procurement Officer will be final and binding. Dispute resolution as provided herein shall be a condition precedent to any other action at law or in equity. However, unless a notice is issued by the Chief Procurement Officer indicating that additional time is required to review a dispute, the parties may exercise their contractual remedies, if any, if no decision is made within sixty (60) days following notification to the Chief Procurement Officer of a dispute. No inference shall be drawn from the absence of a decision by the Chief Procurement Officer. Notwithstanding a dispute, Consultant shall continue to discharge all its obligations, duties and responsibilities set forth in this Agreement during any dispute resolution proceeding unless otherwise agreed to by the County in writing.

**ARTICLE 7) COMPLIANCE WITH ALL LAWS**

The Consultant shall observe and comply with the laws, ordinances, regulations and codes of the Federal, State, County and other local government agencies which may in any manner affect the performance of this Agreement including, but not limited to, those County Ordinances set forth in the Certifications attached hereto and incorporated herein. Assurance of compliance with this requirement by the Consultant's employees, agents or subcontractors shall be the responsibility of the Consultant.

The Consultant shall secure and pay for all federal, state and local licenses, permits and fees required hereunder.

## **ARTICLE 8) SPECIAL CONDITIONS**

### **a) Warranties and Representations**

In connection with signing and carrying out this Agreement, Consultant:

- i) warrants that Consultant is appropriately licensed under Illinois law to perform the Services required under this Agreement and will perform no Services for which a professional license is required by law and for which Consultant is not appropriately licensed;
- ii) warrants it is financially solvent; it and each of its employees, agents and Subcontractors of any tier are competent to perform the Services required under this Agreement; and Consultant is legally authorized to execute and perform or cause to be performed this Agreement under the terms and conditions stated in this Agreement;
- iii) warrants that it will not knowingly use the services of any ineligible consultant or Subcontractor for any purpose in the performance of its Services under this Agreement;
- iv) warrants that Consultant and its Subcontractors are not in default at the time this Agreement is signed, and have not been considered by the Chief Procurement Officer to have, within 5 years immediately preceding the date of this Agreement, been found to be in default on any contract awarded by the County ;
- v) represents that it has carefully examined and analyzed the provisions and requirements of this Agreement; it understands the nature of the Services required; from its own analysis it has satisfied itself as to the nature of all things needed for the performance of this Agreement; this Agreement is feasible of performance in accordance with all of its provisions and requirements, and Consultant warrants it can and will perform, or cause to be performed, the Services in strict accordance with the provisions and requirements of this Agreement;
- vi) represents that Consultant and, to the best of its knowledge, its Subcontractors are not in violation of the provisions of the Illinois Criminal Code, 720 ILCS 5/33E as amended, and the Illinois Municipal Code, 65 ILCS 5/11-42.1-1; and

vii) acknowledges that any certification, affidavit or acknowledgment made under oath in connection with this Agreement is made under penalty of perjury and, if false, is also cause for termination under Sections 9.1 and 9.3.

**b) Ethics**

i) In addition to the foregoing warranties and representations, Consultant warrants:

(1) no officer, agent or employee of the County is employed by Consultant or has a financial interest directly or indirectly in this Agreement or the compensation to be paid under this Agreement except as may be permitted in writing by the Board of Ethics.

(2) no payment, gratuity or offer of employment will be made in connection with this Agreement by or on behalf of any Subcontractors to the prime Consultant or higher tier Subcontractors or anyone associated with them, as an inducement for the award of a subcontract or order.

**c) Joint and Several Liability**

If Consultant, or its successors or assigns, if any, is comprised of more than one individual or other legal entity (or a combination of them), then under this Agreement, each and without limitation every obligation or undertaking in this Agreement to be fulfilled or performed by Consultant is the joint and several obligation or undertaking of each such individual or other legal entity.

**d) Business Documents**

At the request of the County, Consultant must provide copies of its latest articles of incorporation, by-laws and resolutions, or partnership or joint venture agreement, as applicable.

**e) Conflicts of Interest**

i) No member of the governing body of the County or other unit of government and no other officer, employee or agent of the County or other unit of government who exercises any functions or responsibilities in connection with the Services to which this Agreement pertains is permitted to have any personal interest, direct or indirect, in this Agreement. No member of or delegate to the Congress of the United States or the Illinois General Assembly and no Commissioner of the Cook County Board or County employee is allowed to be admitted to any share or part of this Agreement or to any financial benefit to arise from it.

ii) Consultant covenants that it, and to the best of its knowledge, its Subcontractors if any (collectively, "**Consulting Parties**"), presently have no direct or indirect interest and will not acquire any interest, direct or indirect, in any project or contract that would conflict in any manner or degree with the performance of its Services under this Agreement.

iii) Upon the request of the County, Consultant must disclose to the County its past client list and the names of any clients with whom it has an ongoing relationship. Consultant is not permitted to perform any Services for the County on applications or other documents submitted to the County by any of Consultant's past or present clients. If Consultant becomes aware of a conflict, it must immediately stop work on the assignment causing the conflict and notify the County.

iv) Without limiting the foregoing, if the Consulting Parties assist the County in determining the advisability or feasibility of a project or in recommending, researching, preparing, drafting or issuing a request for qualifications or bid specifications for a project, the Consulting Parties must not participate, directly or indirectly, as a prime, subcontractor or joint venture in that project or in the preparation of a qualifications or bid for that project during the term of this Agreement or afterwards. The Consulting Parties may, however, assist the County in reviewing the qualifications or bids for the project if none of the Consulting Parties have a relationship with the persons or entities that submitted the qualifications or bids for that project.

v) The Consultant further covenants that, in the performance of this Agreement, no person having any conflicting interest will be assigned to perform any Services or have access to any confidential information, as defined in Article 3 of this Agreement. If the County, by the Chief Procurement Officer in her reasonable judgment, determines that any of Consultant's Services for others conflict with the Services Consultant is to render for the County under this Agreement, Consultant must terminate such other services immediately upon request of the County.

vi) Furthermore, if any federal funds are to be used to compensate or reimburse Consultant under this Agreement, Consultant represents that it is and will remain in compliance with federal restrictions on lobbying set forth in Section 319 of the Employer of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act for Fiscal year 1990, 31 U.S.C. § 1352, and related rules and regulations set forth at 54 Fed. Reg. 52,309 ff. (1989), as amended. If federal funds are to be used, Consultant must execute a Certification Regarding Lobbying, which will be attached as an exhibit and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth here.

**f) Non-Liability of Public Officials**

Consultant and any assignee or Subcontractor of Consultant must not charge any official, employee or agent of the County personally with any liability or expenses of defense or hold any

official, employee or agent of the County personally liable to them under any term or provision of this Agreement or because of the County's execution, attempted execution or any breach of this Agreement.

**ARTICLE 9) EVENTS OF DEFAULT, REMEDIES, TERMINATION, SUSPENSION AND RIGHT TO OFFSET**

**a) Events of Default Defined**

The following constitute events of default:

- i) Any material misrepresentation, whether negligent or willful and whether in the inducement or in the performance, made by Consultant to the County.
- ii) Consultant's material failure to perform any of its obligations under this Agreement including the following:
  - (a) Failure due to a reason or circumstances within Consultant's reasonable control to perform the Services with sufficient personnel and equipment or with sufficient material to ensure the performance of the Services;
  - (b) Failure to perform the Services in a manner reasonably satisfactory to the Chief Procurement Officer or inability to perform the Services satisfactorily as a result of insolvency, filing for bankruptcy or assignment for the benefit of creditors;
  - (c) Failure to promptly re-perform within a reasonable time Services that were rejected as erroneous or unsatisfactory;
  - (d) Discontinuance of the Services for reasons within Consultant's reasonable control; and
  - (e) Failure to comply with any other material term of this Agreement, including the provisions concerning insurance and nondiscrimination.
- iii) Any change in ownership or control of Consultant without the prior written approval of the Chief Procurement Officer, which approval the Chief Procurement Officer will not unreasonably withhold.
- iv) Consultant's default under any other agreement it may presently have or may enter into with the County during the life of this Agreement. Consultant acknowledges and agrees that in

the event of a default under this Agreement the County may also declare a default under any such other Agreements.

(v) Consultant's repeated or continued violations of County ordinances unrelated to performance under the Agreement that in the opinion of the Chief Procurement Officer indicate a willful or reckless disregard for County laws and regulations.

**b) Remedies**

The occurrence of any event of default permits the County, at the County's sole option, to declare Consultant in default. The Chief Procurement Officer may in his sole discretion give Consultant an opportunity to cure the default within a certain period of time, which period of time must not exceed 30 days, unless extended by the Chief Procurement Officer. Whether to declare Consultant in default is within the sole discretion of the Chief Procurement Officer and neither that decision nor the factual basis for it is subject to review or challenge under the Disputes provision of this Agreement.

The Chief Procurement Officer will give Consultant written notice of the default, either in the form of a cure notice ("**Cure Notice**"), or, if no opportunity to cure will be granted, a default notice ("**Default Notice**"). If the Chief Procurement Officer gives a Default Notice, he will also indicate any present intent he may have to terminate this Agreement, and the decision to terminate (but not the decision not to terminate) is final and effective upon giving the notice. The Chief Procurement Officer may give a Default Notice if Consultant fails to effect a cure within the cure period given in a Cure Notice. When a Default Notice with intent to terminate is given as provided in this Section 9.b and Article 11, Consultant must discontinue any Services, unless otherwise directed in the notice, and deliver all materials accumulated in the performance of this Agreement, whether completed or in the process, to the County. After giving a Default Notice, the County may invoke any or all of the following remedies:

- i) The right to take over and complete the Services, or any part of them, at Consultant's expense and as agent for Consultant, either directly or through others, and bill Consultant for the cost of the Services, and Consultant must pay the difference between the total amount of this bill and the amount the County would have paid Consultant under the terms and conditions of this Agreement for the Services that were assumed by the County as agent for the Consultant under this Section 9.2;
- ii) The right to terminate this Agreement as to any or all of the Services yet to be performed effective at a time specified by the County;

- iii) The right of specific performance, an injunction or any other appropriate equitable remedy;
- iv) The right to money damages;
- v) The right to withhold all or any part of Consultant's compensation under this Agreement;
- vi) The right to consider Consultant non-responsible in future contracts to be awarded by the County.

If the Chief Procurement Officer considers it to be in the County's best interests, he may elect not to declare default or to terminate this Agreement. The parties acknowledge that this provision is solely for the benefit of the County and that if the County permits Consultant to continue to provide the Services despite one or more events of default, Consultant is in no way relieved of any of its responsibilities, duties or obligations under this Agreement, nor does the County waive or relinquish any of its rights.

The remedies under the terms of this Agreement are not intended to be exclusive of any other remedies provided, but each and every such remedy is cumulative and is in addition to any other remedies, existing now or later, at law, in equity or by statute. No delay or omission to exercise any right or power accruing upon any event of default impairs any such right or power, nor is it a waiver of any event of default nor acquiescence in it, and every such right and power may be exercised from time to time and as often as the County considers expedient.

**c) Early Termination**

In addition to termination under Sections 9.1 and 9.2 of this Agreement, the County may terminate this Agreement, or all or any portion of the Services to be performed under it, at any time by a notice in writing from the County to Consultant. The County will give notice to Consultant in accordance with the provisions of Article 11. The effective date of termination will be the date the notice is received by Consultant or the date stated in the notice, whichever is later. If the County elects to terminate this Agreement in full, all Services to be provided under it must cease and all materials that may have been accumulated in performing this Agreement, whether completed or in the process, must be delivered to the County effective 10 days after the date the notice is considered received as provided under Article 11 of this Agreement (if no date is given) or upon the effective date stated in the notice.

After the notice is received, Consultant must restrict its activities, and those of its Subcontractors, to winding down any reports, analyses, or other activities previously begun. No

costs incurred after the effective date of the termination are allowed. Payment for any Services actually and satisfactorily performed before the effective date of the termination is on the same basis as set forth in Article 5, but if any compensation is described or provided for on the basis of a period longer than 10 days, then the compensation must be prorated accordingly. No amount of compensation, however, is permitted for anticipated profits on unperformed Services. The County and Consultant must attempt to agree on the amount of compensation to be paid to Consultant, but if not agreed on, the dispute must be settled in accordance with Article 6 of this Agreement. The payment so made to Consultant is in full settlement for all Services satisfactorily performed under this Agreement.

Consultant must include in its contracts with Subcontractors an early termination provision in form and substance equivalent to this early termination provision to prevent claims against the County arising from termination of subcontracts after the early termination. Consultant will not be entitled to make any early termination claims against the County resulting from any Subcontractor's claims against Consultant or the County to the extent inconsistent with this provision.

If the County's election to terminate this Agreement for default under Sections 9.1 and 9.2 is determined in a court of competent jurisdiction to have been wrongful, then in that case the termination is to be considered to be an early termination under this Section 9.3.

**d) Suspension**

The County may at any time request that Consultant suspend its Services, or any part of them, by giving 15 days prior written notice to Consultant or upon informal oral, or even no notice, in the event of emergency. No costs incurred after the effective date of such suspension are allowed. Consultant must promptly resume its performance of the Services under the same terms and conditions as stated in this Agreement upon written notice by the Chief Procurement Officer and such equitable extension of time as may be mutually agreed upon by the Chief Procurement Officer and Consultant when necessary for continuation or completion of Services. Any additional costs or expenses actually incurred by Consultant as a result of recommencing the Services must be treated in accordance with the compensation provisions under Article 5 of this Agreement.

No suspension of this Agreement is permitted in the aggregate to exceed a period of 45 days within any one year of this Agreement. If the total number of days of suspension exceeds 45 days, Consultant by written notice may treat the suspension as an early termination of this Agreement under Section 9.3.

**e) Right to Offset**

In connection with performance under this Agreement:

The County may offset any excess costs incurred:

- (i) if the County terminates this Agreement for default or any other reason resulting from Consultant's performance or non-performance;
- (ii) if the County exercises any of its remedies under Section 9.2 of this Agreement; or
- (iii) if the County has any credits due or has made any overpayments under this Agreement.

The County may offset these excess costs by use of any payment due for Services completed before the County terminated this Agreement or before the County exercised any remedies. If the amount offset is insufficient to cover those excess costs, Consultant is liable for and must promptly remit to the County the balance upon written demand for it. This right to offset is in addition to and not a limitation of any other remedies available to the County.

**f.) Delays**

Consultant agrees that no charges or claims for damages shall be made by Consultant for any delays or hindrances from any cause whatsoever during the progress of any portion of this Agreement.

**g.) Prepaid Fees**

In the event this Agreement is terminated by either party, for cause or otherwise, and the County has prepaid for any Letter of Engagement, Consultant shall refund to the County, on a prorated basis to the effective date of termination, all amounts prepaid for Letter of Engagement not actually provided as of the effective date of the termination. The refund shall be made within fourteen (14) days of the effective date of termination.

**ARTICLE 10) GENERAL CONDITIONS**

**a) Entire Agreement**

**i) General**

This Agreement, and the exhibits attached to it and incorporated in it, constitute the entire agreement between the parties and no other warranties, inducements, considerations, promises or interpretations are implied or impressed upon this Agreement that are not expressly addressed in this Agreement.

**ii) No Collateral Agreements**

Consultant acknowledges that, except only for those representations, statements or promises expressly contained in this Agreement and any exhibits attached to it and incorporated by reference in it, no representation, statement or promise, oral or in writing, of any kind whatsoever, by the County, its officials, agents or employees, has induced Consultant to enter into this Agreement or has been relied upon by Consultant, including any with reference to: (i) the meaning, correctness, suitability or completeness of any provisions or requirements of this Agreement; (ii) the nature of the Services to be performed; (iii) the nature, quantity, quality or volume of any materials, equipment, labor and other facilities needed for the performance of this Agreement; (iv) the general conditions which may in any way affect this Agreement or its performance; (v) the compensation provisions of this Agreement; or (vi) any other matters, whether similar to or different from those referred to in (i) through (vi) immediately above, affecting or having any connection with this Agreement, its negotiation, any discussions of its performance or those employed or connected or concerned with it.

**iii) No Omissions**

Consultant acknowledges that Consultant was given an opportunity to review all documents forming this Agreement before signing this Agreement in order that it might request inclusion in this Agreement of any statement, representation, promise or provision that it desired or on that it wished to place reliance. Consultant did so review those documents, and either every such statement, representation, promise or provision has been included in this Agreement or else, if omitted, Consultant relinquishes the benefit of any such omitted statement, representation, promise or provision and is willing to perform this Agreement in its entirety without claiming reliance on it or making any other claim on account of its omission.

**b) Counterparts**

This Agreement is comprised of several identical counterparts, each to be fully signed by the parties and each to be considered an original having identical legal effect.

**c) Modifications and Amendments**

The parties may from time to time during the term of this Agreement make modifications and amendments to the Agreement with the approval of the Board of Commissioners.

**d) Governing Law and Jurisdiction**

This Agreement shall be governed by and construed under the laws of the State of Illinois. The Consultant irrevocably agrees that, subject to the County's sole and absolute election to the contrary, any action or proceeding in any way, manner or respect arising out of this Agreement, or arising from any dispute or controversy arising in connection with or related to this Agreement, shall be litigated only in courts within the Circuit Court of Cook County, State of Illinois, and the Consultant consents and submits to the jurisdiction thereof. In accordance with these provisions, Consultant waives any right it may have to transfer or change the venue of any litigation brought against it by the County pursuant to this Agreement.

**e) Severability**

If any provision of this Agreement is held or considered to be or is in fact invalid, illegal, inoperative or unenforceable as applied in any particular case in any jurisdiction or in all cases because it conflicts with any other provision or provisions of this Agreement or of any constitution, statute, ordinance, rule of law or public policy, or for any other reason, those circumstances do not have the effect of rendering the provision in question invalid, illegal, inoperative or unenforceable in any other case or circumstances, or of rendering any other provision or provisions in this Agreement invalid, illegal, inoperative or unenforceable to any extent whatsoever. The invalidity, illegality, inoperativeness or unenforceability of any one or more phrases, sentences, clauses or sections in this Agreement does not affect the remaining portions of this Agreement or any part of it.

**f) Assigns**

All of the terms and conditions of this Agreement are binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties and their respective legal representatives, successors and assigns.

**g) Cooperation**

Consultant must at all times cooperate fully with the County and act in the County's best interests. If this Agreement is terminated for any reason, or if it is to expire on its own terms, Consultant must make every effort to assure an orderly transition to another provider of the Services, if any, orderly demobilization of its own operations in connection with the Services, uninterrupted provision of Services during any transition period and must otherwise comply with the reasonable requests and requirements of the Employer in connection with the termination or expiration.

**h) Waiver**

Nothing in this Agreement authorizes the waiver of a requirement or condition contrary to law or ordinance or that would result in or promote the violation of any federal, state or local law or ordinance.

Whenever under this Agreement the County by a proper authority waives Consultant's performance in any respect or waives a requirement or condition to either the County's or Consultant's performance, the waiver so granted, whether express or implied, only applies to the particular instance and is not a waiver forever or for subsequent instances of the performance, requirement or condition. No such waiver is a modification of this Agreement regardless of the number of times the County may have waived the performance, requirement or condition. Such waivers must be provided to Consultant in writing.

**i) Independent Contractor**

This Agreement is not intended to and will not constitute, create, give rise to, or otherwise recognize a joint venture, partnership, corporation or other formal business association or organization of any kind between Consultant and the County. The rights and the obligations of the parties are only those expressly set forth in this Agreement. Consultant must perform under this Agreement as an independent contractor and not as a representative, employee, agent, or partner of the County.

This Agreement is between the County and an independent contractor and, if Consultant is an individual, nothing provided for under this Agreement constitutes or implies an employer-employee relationship such that:

- i) The County will not be liable under or by reason of this Agreement for the payment of any compensation award or damages in connection with the Consultant performing the Services required under this Agreement.

ii) Consultant is not entitled to membership in the County Pension Fund, Group Medical Insurance Program, Group Dental Program, Group Vision Care, Group Life Insurance Program, Deferred Income Program, vacation, sick leave, extended sick leave, or any other benefits ordinarily provided to individuals employed and paid through the regular payrolls of the County.

iii) The County is not required to deduct or withhold any taxes, FICA or other deductions from any compensation provided to the Consultant.

#### **ARTICLE 11) NOTICES**

All notices required pursuant to this Agreement shall be in writing and addressed to the parties at their respective addresses set forth below. All such notices shall be deemed duly given if hand delivered or if deposited in the United States mail, postage prepaid, registered or certified, return receipt requested. Notice as provided herein does not waive service of summons or process.

If to the County: Office of the President  
118 N. Clark Street, 5<sup>th</sup> floor  
Chicago, IL 60602  
Attention: Chief of Staff

and

COOK COUNTY CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER  
118 North Clark Street, Room 1018  
Chicago, Illinois 60602  
(Include County Contract Number on all notices)

If to Consultant: Hennessy & Roach, P.C.  
140 S. Dearborn Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Chicago, IL 60602  
Attention: John J. Murphy

Changes in these addresses must be in writing and delivered in accordance with the provisions of this Article 11. Notices delivered by mail are considered received three days after mailing in accordance with this Article 11. Notices delivered personally are considered effective upon receipt. Refusal to accept delivery has the same effect as receipt.

Consultant shall comply with any notice provisions set forth in the Letters of Engagement.

**ARTICLE 12) AUTHORITY**

Execution of this Agreement by Consultant is authorized by a resolution of its Board of Directors, if a corporation, or similar governing document, and the signature(s) of each person signing on behalf of Consultant have been made with complete and full authority to commit Consultant to all terms and conditions of this Agreement, including each and every representation, certification and warranty contained in it, including the representations, certifications and warranties collectively incorporated by reference in it.

**Hennessy & Roach, P.C.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Authorized Representative

Thomas G. Hennessy  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Type or print name

President  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Title

Date: 5/6/13  
\_\_\_\_\_

RFQ 13-90-048 County-Wide Labor and Employment Consultation and Representation

**EXHIBIT 1**

**Scope of Services**

## **2 SCOPE**

### **2.1 General**

The selected firm(s) and/or attorney(s) shall provide legal consultation and representation services for various County bureaus, agencies, and offices of elected officials as well as the Forest Preserve District on labor and employment matters. The selected firm(s) and/or attorney(s) shall be asked to provide legal consultation and representation services in one or more areas including union contract negotiations, contract interpretation and implementation, Labor Relations Board matters, workers compensation, and employment law. Firm(s) and/or attorney(s) will be selected to perform legal services in specific categories for which they are deemed qualified by the County.

The selected firm(s) or attorney(s) will work closely with in-house counsel, staff, and hired experts to provide services to the Employer.

Respondent shall be financially solvent and each of its members or partners, its employees or agents shall be competent to perform the services required under this RFQ document.

In order to maintain an appropriate fiduciary relationship between the Employer and the selected firm, all work shall be performed directly by the selected Respondent.

### **2.2 Categories of Legal Practice**

Respondent shall have knowledge and experience in one or more of the following five (5) categories of legal practice:

#### **Category I**

##### **Union Contract Negotiations**

- Experience representing clients with a staff of over 600 unionized employees in formulating collective bargaining strategies and negotiating collective bargaining strategies with union counterparts;
- Assist in the formulation of Employer bargaining positions and strategies, act as chief spokesperson for represented groups as directed;
- Advise on the status of collective bargaining;
- Represent the Employer in interest arbitration;
- Consult on strike planning;
- Work closely with in-house counsel and consultants on issues related to benefits design and the Affordable Care Act.

#### **Category II**

##### **Contract Interpretation and Implementation**

- Advise on interpretation of CBAs and implementation of policy and initiatives within the parameters of CBA;
- Provide counsel regarding grievances and arbitrations;

- Represent the using department in litigation related to the collective bargaining process including the duty to bargain in good faith, contract violations, and disciplinary matters as well as grievances and arbitrations resulting therefrom;
- Consult on strike planning.

#### **Category III**

##### **Labor Relations Board Matters**

- Knowledge of all applicable federal, state, county, and local laws, rules and regulations including those of the National Labor Relations Board and Illinois Labor Relations Board and may be available to represent the Employer in matters before such Boards when needed;
- Experience appearing before the Illinois Labor Relations Board on matters involving union certification petitions, representation petitions, unit clarification petitions, and unfair labor practice charges.

#### **Category IV**

##### **Workers Compensation**

- Knowledge of Workers Compensation law;
- Advise on implementation of policies and initiatives related to Workers Compensation, disability, and return to work matters;
- Consultation and representation in Workers Compensation claims.

#### **Category V**

##### **Employment Law**

- Knowledge of all applicable federal, state, county, and local laws, rules and regulations relative to employment law and be available to represent the Employer in matters when needed;
- Experience in employment law litigation.
- Provide advice and counsel on employment related laws, policies, and matters such as the Family and Medical Leave Act, Equal Employment Opportunity laws, etc.

#### **Category VI**

##### **Employee Benefits**

- Work closely with in-house counsel on implementation of the Affordable Care Act and benefit plan designs (health care, pension, and other post-employment benefits ("OPEB"));

#### **2.3 Master Agreement for Legal Services**

Once firm(s) and/or attorneys(s) are selected for a category or categories of the pre-qualified pool, the Selected Respondent(s) will execute Cook County's Master Agreement for Legal Services ("Agreement") in substantially the same form with the same terms and conditions as set forth in the attached Agreement (Exhibit 1). The Respondent shall include as a part of its cover letter for its Qualifications Submittal to Cook County an acknowledgement that it has read, understands and accepts the terms and

conditions of the Agreement. If there are any terms and conditions to which the Respondent has objections, such objections and the specific section numbers must be noted in the Cover Letter. The Respondent's proposed alternative language, if any, must be included as an attachment to the Cover Letter. Respondent that has objections and fails to provide alternative language shall waive its right to subsequently object to any terms of the Agreement if selected for the pre-qualified pool. Execution of the Agreement is not a guarantee of work.

#### **2.4 Process for Selecting Pre-qualified Law Firm(s) or Attorney for Specific Projects**

Once firm(s) and/or attorneys(s) are in the pre-qualified pool, they will receive project-specific letters of engagement according to their areas of expertise and experience which will include a description of the specified scope of work, the source of funding, a start and end date for services, conflict of interest verifications, identification of key personnel, evidence of insurance, and the terms and conditions that apply with any other information deemed appropriate by the Chief Procurement Officer. Projects will vary in scope and size, and will be assigned by each Employer on an as needed basis. Using departments will make reasonable efforts to insure equitable distribution of specific projects. Firms can submit Qualifications for one or multiple categories. As a result, multiple awards are possible depending on the expertise in each category. Respondent must specify the categories of interest on the Categories and Pricing Form in Attachment II. Pre-qualification in the pool is not a guarantee of work.

#### **2.5 Key Personnel**

Respondent must identify the key personnel that will be committed to the project. The Chief Procurement Officer reserves the right to reject any key personnel proposed if it is determined in the County's best interest. All key personnel must be committed to the project without competing priorities. The evaluation of Submittals includes the qualifications of the personnel proposed; therefore, Respondent must name key personnel as part of their Qualifications. Key Personnel must not be replaced during the project without approval of the Chief Procurement Officer.

HENNESSY & ROACH, P.C.

JOHN J. MURPHY, JR.

Bachelor of Arts – Loyola University Chicago 1969

Master of Science in Industrial Relations – Loyola University Chicago's Institute of  
Industrial Relations 1977

Juris Doctor – IIT/Chicago Kent College of Law (High Honors) 1981

Editor-in-Chief, *Chicago Kent Law Review* 1980-81

Admitted to Practice in Illinois and U.S. District Court 1981

before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit 1991

before the U.S. Supreme Court 1992

Professional Affiliations: American Bar Association (Labor and Employment and  
Litigation sections), Illinois State Bar Association (Labor and  
Employment section and former member of the Illinois Bar  
Journal Editorial Board), Chicago Bar Association, Industrial  
Relations Research Association, and Catholic Lawyers Guild.

Practice Areas: Labor and Employment Law, representing employers in the areas of  
collective bargaining; arbitration of employee grievances; advice  
regarding labor relations and human resource issues; representation  
elections and unfair labor practice matters before the NLRB; litigation of  
overtime pay and discrimination claims before state and federal agencies  
(EEOC, Illinois Department of Human Rights, Illinois Human Rights  
Commission, Illinois Department of Labor) and state and federal courts.

Publications: "The ADA Employment Provisions," Illinois Bar Journal (May, 1993).  
"The Purchase, Sale, Relocation, or Shutdown of a Business," The Labor  
Law Handbook (Illinois Institute for Continuing Legal Education 1999).  
"Civil Rights Agencies: United States Equal Employment Opportunity  
Commission, Illinois Department of Human Rights, Illinois Human  
Rights Commission, Chicago Commission on Human Relations, and  
Cook County Commission on Human Rights" Chicago Lawyer's Court  
Handbook (Young Lawyers Section, Chicago Bar Association 1997).

Representative Clients: Sysco Corporation  
Jewel Food Stores  
Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County  
Browning-Ferris Industries

## Susan E. Walsh

### LEGAL EXPERIENCE

#### HENNESSY & ROACH, P.C.

7/10-present

Handle complex caseload of workers' compensation cases for several national accounts and the City of Chicago. Counsel five Illinois hospitals on employment-related issues.

#### GILDEA & COGHLAN, LTD.

3/09-6/10

Managed workers' compensation caseload of approximately 180 files from inception to disposition, counseled clients on employment-related issues and litigated cases before the Illinois Department of Employment Security and Illinois Workers' Compensation Commission.

#### HOLECEK & ASSOCIATES PRACTICE LEADER

9/05-3/09

Role as Practice Leader included managing workers' compensation caseload of approximately 200 files which primarily consisted of National Accounts, mentoring, preparing and presenting seminars, reviewing files for performance reviews and obtaining two National Accounts for Staff Counsel.

#### FREEBORN & PETERS

9/00-9/05

#### ASSOCIATE--*Employment and Civil Litigation Practice Groups*

Managed significant caseload from inception to disposition which included counseling clients, drafting pleadings and discovery, responding to discovery, preparing and arguing motions before the Municipal, Circuit and Federal Courts, preparing and deposing witnesses, negotiating settlements and mediating/litigating cases before the Department of Labor, Department of Employment Security, Illinois Workers' Compensation Commission and Department of Workforce Development in Wisconsin.

Post-trial work included preparing appeals, drafting briefs, arguing motions and oral arguments.

#### SEYFARTH, SHAW

9/98-8/00

#### ASSOCIATE -- *Labor and Employment Practice Group*

Representation of management in Employment Litigation included drafting pleadings, answers and interrogatories, preparing and arguing pre-trial motions, representing clients in pre-trial conferences and negotiating settlements.

Workers' Compensation experience included identifying legal issues and defenses to advance trial strategy, drafting and arguing motions, pre-trial hearings, negotiating settlements, taking and defending doctors' depositions, arbitration hearings, review hearings and briefing and arguing matters on appeal.

### EDUCATION

B.S, Criminal Justice, University of Illinois at Chicago (1994)  
J.D., Chicago-Kent College of Law (1998)

## HENNESSY & ROACH, P.C.

THOMAS G. HENNESSY  
Hennessy & Roach, P.C.  
140 South Dearborn, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
(312) 346-5313  
(312) 346-5330 (Fax)  
E-mail: [thennessy@hennessyroach.com](mailto:thennessy@hennessyroach.com)

Bachelor of Science - Business Administration 1984, Illinois State University  
Juris Doctor 1988, The John Marshall Law School  
Admitted to Practice in Illinois and Federal Court 1988

- Professional Affiliations:** Member of the Workers' Compensation Claims Association, Greater Peoria Claims Association and Adjuster's Association of Central Illinois.
- Practice Areas:** Defense of Workers' Compensation and General Liability matters.
- Social Affiliations:** Member of the Chilucanas Mission Club (benefiting Bishop John McNabb's efforts in Chilucanas, Peru).

### SIGNIFICANT ARBITRATION DECISIONS

1. *Theresa Pestrak v. Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Chicago*, (91 WC 46726)

Petitioner was employed by the respondent as a social worker. Her job duties included visiting drug dependent individuals at their home for the purpose of providing counseling. While attempting to visit a client in a privately owned apartment building on the West Side of Chicago, the petitioner was attacked by an unknown assailant. There was a physical altercation and the petitioner's purse was stolen. The petitioner sought \$11,000.00 in medical expenses, \$32,000.00 in TTD benefits and \$14,000.00 in PPD benefits. The Industrial Commission found (and the Appellate Court eventually affirmed) that the petitioner did not sustain an accident which arose out of her employment.

2. *Michael Knowles v. Turner Brothers Construction*, (88 WC 30114)

The petitioner alleged that he was exposed to a crude oil spill resulting in an alleged diagnosis of multiple chemical sensitivities. The petitioner pursued an award of permanent and total disability and provided a six-figure settlement demand. A pre-trial offer of \$25,000.00 was rejected. The Industrial Commission found (and the Appellate Court eventually affirmed) that the petitioner did not sustain an accident while employed by Turner Brothers. Respondent offered the testimony of numerous co-workers along with extensive medical evidence in order to defend the claim.

3. Virginia Palmisano v. All Tile, Inc., (94 WC 36643)

The petitioner alleged she slipped and fell while working for respondent. The petitioner pursued medical expenses related to treatment for an open reduction of a nasal fracture deformity, headaches, and other complaints regarding her head, neck, chest, right shoulder and lower back. Petitioner pursued extensive TTD benefits during her treatment for alleged bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome, a small central disc herniation at C4-C5 and a herniation at L5-S1. The Industrial Commission found that the petitioner only carried her burden of proof that she had sustained contusion and abrasion injuries to her forehead, both knees and right hand. As a result of the injuries she sustained a strain of the right arm and a visible and palpable fullness over the right edge of nasal bone. Petitioner failed to prove the causal relationship between the alleged accident and any of her other complaints. Temporary total disability benefits were limited to 7-3/7 weeks and it was determined that the petitioner went beyond the two doctor provision of §8(a)(3) of the Act. Subsequent to the Commission review process, the remaining permanent partial disability issue was settled for 2.66% loss of the use of the right arm and three weeks of benefits pursuant to §8(c) of the Act.

4. Elihu Moore v. National Energy Systems, (91 WC 59821)

The petitioner demanded \$26,541.00, representing 15% loss of the man as a whole to settle the case. An offer was made in the amount of \$8,847.00, representing 5% loss of the man as a whole. The petitioner pursued arbitration claiming injuries to his left shoulder and low back. He was diagnosed with symptomatic mechanical low back syndrome with a dorsal lumbar strain. The Industrial Commission awarded \$7,077.60, representing 4% loss of the man as a whole.

5. Rudolph Ortega v. Zenith Electronic Corporation, (88 WC 30714)

The petitioner sustained a compensable injury while working for respondent. He eventually underwent an L5-S1 hemilaminectomy and foraminotomy. The symptoms continued and the petitioner eventually underwent a decompressive lumbar laminectomy at L5 with foraminotomy with pedicle screw and rod fixation from L4 to S1. Petitioner pursued an award of permanent and total disability and provided a demand for settlement of \$175,000.00. Temporary total disability benefits were terminated and an offer was made to settle the case for \$42,701.25. The offer was rejected, we proceeded to trial and Arbitrator Preibis awarded \$40,989.77. We successfully argued that the petitioner did not offer the evidence necessary to prove that he fell into the "odd lot" permanent total disability category.

6. Marylin Cutrano v. Jewel Food Stores, (93 WC 60932)

The petitioner alleged that she sustained bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome, bilateral cubital tunnel syndrome and a cervical radiculopathy related to bulging discs at C5-C6 and C6-C7. Petitioner's demand for settlement was in the area of \$100,000.00. We offered \$39,626.53 and pursued the defense of the causal relation, TTD and permanent total disability issues at arbitration. Although Arbitrator Caliendo originally entered an award of over \$90,000.00, Commissioners Kinnaman, Smart and Gilgis modified the award down to \$42,371.62.

7. Jerry McNeeley v. McKay Contractors, (96 WC 50100)

In November of 1999, this file was transferred from another law firm to our office for further handling. We filed a Substitution of Attorney and provided the petitioner's attorney with notice that we would request a trial at the next status hearing. The petitioner refused to reduce his settlement demand of \$79,925.22. He also demanded two years of open medical benefits. Just prior to trial, the petitioner rejected our settlement offer of \$54,925.22. The case was tried before Arbitrator Cronin on February 18, 2000 resulting in an award of \$52,601.18. On June 25, 2000, while the matter was under review at the Commission, the case settled for \$54,000.00.

8. Olga Kwolek v. Jewel Food Stores, (94 WC 11005)

The petitioner alleged that on June 18, 1993, while employed by Jewel Food Stores, she sustained an injury to her lower back and the area between her shoulder blades while reaching into a service case. She was eventually diagnosed with a herniated disc at L4-L5 and a bulging disc at C4-C5. Her treating physician was of the opinion that she was to maintain a 20-pound lifting restriction. The case was defended because the petitioner failed to report any accident to her supervisor nor did she provide Dr. Santos with a history of an accident even though she received treatment from him three days after the alleged accident. We were also aware that the petitioner had a previous injury as a result of an automobile accident in 1991. The petitioner proceeded under the theory that the alleged accident at work aggravated her pre-existing condition. The parties proceeded to trial before Arbitrator Cronin on March 29, 2000. An opening statement was utilized to advise the Arbitrator of the frivolous nature of the claim. Then, after approximately 90 minutes of cross-examination, Arbitrator Cronin abruptly terminated the arbitration hearing and asked the petitioner and court reporter to leave the room. At that time, the petitioner's attorney announced that it was his intention to recommend for his client to voluntarily dismiss the claim. An Order of Voluntary Dismissal was entered on May 2, 2000.

PRESENTATIONS

1994

- June 29, 1994      Rules Governing Practice Before the Illinois Industrial Commission and their impact on claims handling -- Presented to Kemper Insurance claim staff.
- December 8, 1994      Basic Aspects of the Illinois Workers' Compensation Act -- Presented to Universal Underwriters claim staff.
- December 15, 1994      Basic Defense Strategies and Defense Counsel's Role in Resolving Claims -- Presented to Intertake Corporation supervisor at training seminar.

1995

February 21, 1995 Strategies for Resolution of Workers' Compensation Claims -- Presented to Alexsis claims staff.

December 5, 1995 Subrogation and the Workers' Compensation Lien -- Presented to Marriott claims staff.

#### 1996

March 5, 1996 Strategies for Effective use of Defense Counsel -- Presented to Illinois Claims Manager's Association.

August 29, 1996 Basic Aspects of Illinois Workers' Compensation Act -- Presented to American Stores claims staff.

November 13, 1996 Basic Strategies for Taking Effective Recorded Statement -- Presented to Dominick's claims staff.

#### 1997

March 11, 1997 The Recorded Statement -- Discussion and mock trial -- Presented to Wausau claims staff.

December 9, 1997 Structure of Industrial Commission and General Information about its Operation -- Presented to Illinois Claims Managers Association.

#### 1998

May 11, 1998 Kotecki and its Progeny: Defending Employers in Third Party Litigation: Presented to Wausau claims staff

August 28, 1998 The Compensability of Recreational Activity Under §11 of the Act: presented to Dominick's claims staff.

#### 1999

January 22, 1999 The Impact of the McMahan Decision on Claims Involving Disputed Medical Issues: Presented to Illinois Compensation Trust claims staff.

February 22, 1999 Compensability of Stress Claims in Illinois and Basic Strategies for Taking Effective Recorded Statements - Presented to Chubb Insurance claims staff.

September 29, 1999 Mock Trial/Trial Techniques - Presented to Workers' Compensation Claims Association.

2000

- February 21, 2000 Mock Trial/Trial Techniques: Presented to worker's compensation staff at RSKCo.
- August 24, 2000 Mock Trial/Trial Techniques: Presented to several local adjusters in the Indianapolis area.
- September 14, 2000 Mock Trial/Trial Techniques: Presented to workers' compensation staff at Chubb Insurance.

## **HENNESSY & ROACH, P.C.**

**BRANDON H. DEBERRY**  
Hennessy & Roach, P.C.  
140 South Dearborn, 7th Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
(312) 346-5329  
(312) 346-5330 (Fax)  
E-mail: [bdeberry@hennessyroach.com](mailto:bdeberry@hennessyroach.com)

### **Education:**

Bachelor of Arts - Psychology 2001, University of Missouri-Columbia  
Juris Doctor 2008, University of Illinois

### **Professional Licenses:**

Illinois State Bar, 2008  
United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, 2009

### **Practice Areas:**

Defense of Workers' Compensation claims in Illinois

## HENNESSY & ROACH, P.C.

AUKSE R. GRIGALIUNAS  
Hennessy & Roach, P.C.  
140 South Dearborn, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
(312) 346-5358  
E-mail: agrigaliunas@hennessyroach.com

Bachelor of Arts – English, 1996, Marquette University  
Graduate Diploma – International Law, 2002, University of Melbourne, Australia  
Juris Doctor 2003, Chicago Kent College of Law  
Admitted to Practice in Illinois and Federal Court 2003

Practice Areas:                    Defense of Workers' Compensation Claims in Illinois

### Significant Appellate Court Decisions:

1. *City of Chicago v. Illinois Workers' Compensation Commission, Ezra Townsend*

Since 2002, the Illinois Workers' Compensation Commission has held that trial starts with the taking of an evidence deposition based on the Commission decision *Marks vs. Acme*. The consequences of this rule were such that once a deposition has been taken, usually of a treating physician, no other medical evidence such as an independent medical examination, obtained following the deposition would be admissible at trial. In this case, the treating physician's deposition was taken, and trial was not scheduled for over a year following the deposition. In the interim, the respondent, City of Chicago, obtained an independent medical examination pursuant to Section 12 of the Illinois Workers' Compensation Act in order to ascertain the nature, extent and probable duration of the petitioner's disability. At trial, nearly a year later, when the report was offered into evidence, it was rejected by the arbitrator because it was obtained after the treating physician's evidence deposition had been taken. The issue was appealed to the Appellate Court of Illinois, which found that the report was improperly excluded and that the rule that trial begins with an evidence deposition is contrary to Section 12 of the Illinois Workers' Compensation Act. The effect of this decision means that, even if depositions have been taken, parties may still gather medical evidence which may be admissible at trial.

## HENNESSY & ROACH, P.C.

ERIN K. FIORE  
Hennessy & Roach, P.C.  
140 South Dearborn, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
(312) 327-0246  
(312) 346-5330 Fax  
E-mail: emcgraw@hennessyroach.com

### Education:

Juris Doctor & Certificate in International and Comparative Law – 2006, Chicago-Kent  
College of Law  
Bachelor of Science – Community Health/Health Administration, 2003, University of  
Illinois at Urbana-Champaign  
Minor – Spanish, 2003, Centro Granadí de Español and University of Illinois at Urbana-  
Champaign

### Professional Experience:

Admitted to practice in Illinois since 2006

January 2011 – Present	Hennessy & Roach, P.C., Chicago, Illinois Associate
December 2004 – December 2010	City of Chicago, Department of Law, Chicago, Illinois Assistant Corporation Counsel Practice areas: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Defense of workers' compensation claims</li><li>- Prosecution of municipal ordinances, including building code, fire code, public health code, and zoning code</li><li>- Assisted in defense of federal civil cases brought under 42 U.S.C. §1983 and related claims under state law</li></ul>

Practice Areas: Defense of Workers' Compensation Claims in Illinois

### Significant Arbitration/Commission Decisions:

*Maria Catalina Islas v. Mail Terminal Services*  
Petitioner sustained a work related injury to her lumbar spine. She underwent conservative treatment, refused to undergo surgery, and continued to complain of pain. Petitioner received a light duty return to work per the Section 12 examiner. Respondent offered accommodated work, but Petitioner presented for work with a four prong cane. She was unable to produce a medical note until an office visit two weeks later. She then decided to stop working for Respondent and never looked for work thereafter. At trial, Petitioner argued for additional TTD and /or maintenance benefits and that she was permanently and totally disabled under the odd-lot theory. Respondent instead argued that no additional TTD

benefits were owed and that permanency benefits should be awarded under 8(d)(2) of the Act due to Petitioner's change in jobs. The Arbitrator awarded no additional TTD benefits and 40% loss of use of man as a whole under Section 8(d)(2) of the Act. Petitioner filed a Petition for Review and the Commission affirmed the entire decision.

## HENNESSY & ROACH, P.C.

MICHAEL PATRICK GEARY  
Hennessy & Roach, P.C.  
140 South Dearborn, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
(312) 346-5321  
(312) 346-5330 (Fax)  
E-mail: geary@hennessyroach.com

Bachelor of Arts - Political Science 1992, Miami University, Oxford, OH  
Juris Doctor 1995, Wake Forest University School of Law, Winston-Salem, NC  
Admitted to Practice in Illinois and Federal Court 1995  
Admitted to Practice in Wisconsin 2006

### Professional Affiliations:

Member of the Chicago Bar Association  
Member of the Wisconsin State Bar Association  
Member of the Workers' Compensation Lawyers Association

### Practice Areas:

Defense of Workers' Compensation matters

### Special Recognition:

Chicago Magazine - Illinois Rising Stars\* (2008, 2009 & 2010)

\*limited to 2.5% of the outstanding emerging lawyers in Illinois who are age 40 and under, or have been practicing 10 years or less

## SIGNIFICANT TRIAL DECISIONS

### 1. Harry Douthit v. Yellow Freight (04 WC 40443; 07 L 50202)

Petitioner alleged work injury to right arm as result of fall from ladder on December 30, 2003. Petitioner testified that entire right upper extremity worsened following accident until finally sought treatment on April 30, 2004. When first seeking treatment, Petitioner provided history of injury as result of falling off ladder, but stated accident occurred 2-3 months earlier and identified accident date of February 28, 2004.

Additionally, Petitioner completed accident report on December 30, 2003 and made no mention of right shoulder being injured, instead referring to left shoulder only.

However, the Arbitrator still found in favor of Petitioner and awarded all TTD and prospective medical including surgery. Since Petitioner testified he struck left shoulder on ledge before falling to ground on back, the Arbitrator found it more likely than not Petitioner's primary source of pain following injury was left shoulder, rather than right shoulder. Regarding conflicting accident dates, the Arbitrator acknowledged date of injury "appears to be inaccurate," but still found accident history consistent. Finally, the Arbitrator placed significance on fact diagnostic tests revealed traumatic findings rather than mere degenerative problems. In short, the Arbitrator overlooked 4-month delay in treatment, inconsistent accident dates found in medical records, and failure to mention right shoulder until 4 months after the fact in ruling for Petitioner.

On appeal, we argued the documentary evidence included multiple inconsistencies regarding accident date and body part injured. We conceded Petitioner was involved in compensable accident on December 30, 2003; however, we argued accident did not result in injury to right arm. Additionally, we argued the Arbitrator improperly relied upon traumatic findings of diagnostic studies (both massive tear and fracture) to support decision, since this rationale is inconsistent with fact Petitioner did not seek treatment for 4 months after accident. Finally, we argued the Arbitrator's decision incorrectly relied upon Petitioner's treating physician to establish causation since doctor admitted in deposition that his causation opinion was based solely upon history Petitioner provided regarding work accident, and he acknowledged he did not review accident report completed by Petitioner on date of accident.

On review, the Commission affirmed the Arbitrator's finding Petitioner sustained compensable accident on December 30, 2003, but reversed the Arbitrator and found Petitioner failed to prove causation for right shoulder condition. The Commission further found Petitioner failed to prove he sustained any permanency for accidental injuries sustained on December 30, 2003 and denied claim for compensation, medical expenses, and prospective medical care. The unanimous Commission decision reversing the Arbitrator's trial award reduced decision to "zero" award, effectively converting Section 19(b)/8(a) hearing to trial on all issues. Petitioner appealed to Circuit Court of Cook County, which confirmed Commission's denial of compensation. Petitioner then filed appeal of Circuit Court's decision to Appellate Court. However, appeal was subsequently dismissed by Illinois Appellate Court.

2. John Hardison v. Federal Companies (02 WC 48359; 04 MR 1316)

Petitioner alleged work injury as result of normal job duties as mover, including loading and unloading household goods weighing 50-120 pounds. Petitioner testified he felt sharp pain down his back into groin while stacking boxes on moving van. He testified he continued working rest of day, despite leg weakness and groin pain, and he testified he left message on respondent's answering machine later that night since he was unable to report accident in person, as he returned after normal business hours when no one was there. After cross-examination regarding specifics of accident, petitioner testified on re-direct that he initially felt sharp pain in his lower back while stacking boxes in van and then later felt similar pain inside customer's home after leaning over table to complete paperwork. Petitioner eventually underwent surgery

and was released to return to work, although he could no longer work as mover, which had been his job since 1985.

Following successful cross-examination impeaching petitioner's credibility regarding circumstances surrounding alleged accident, the arbitrator denied compensation and Workers' Compensation Commission affirmed on review by 2-1 vote. Petitioner appealed to Circuit Court of Lake County, where denial of compensation was again affirmed. Finally, petitioner appealed to Second Judicial District of Appellate Court of Illinois, where court affirmed Circuit Court decision in 4-1 vote. The court concluded petitioner's failure to testify in manner consistent with medical records could have reasonably raised concerns regarding credibility of claim. The court further noted Commission did not conclude that acts of bending over or completing paperwork were non-compensable. Instead, the court noted Commission merely determined petitioner's story was inconsistent and, thus, not credible. The dissenting opinion indicated that it is not speculation petitioner's injury began while loading truck and culminated while leaning over table to deal with work related paperwork. Regardless, we were able to preserve the "zero" award initially issued by the arbitrator and subsequently affirmed at Commission level, Circuit Court level, and finally Appellate Court level.

3. *Amy Brys v. Jewel Food Stores* (98 WC 40199)

Petitioner alleged injury as result of lifting heavy boxes and pulling crates at work, and first underwent lumbar microdiscectomy, followed by laminectomy, bone graft and fusion, approximately 2 years following first surgery. Petitioner testified she reported accident to supervisor, and then completed accident/off work reports claiming work related accident. At trial, petitioner claimed entitlement to 74 weeks of TTD benefits, \$81,000 in medical benefits, and Section 8(d)1 wage differential benefits as result of permanent work restrictions following two surgical procedures and her inability to return to pre-accident position. Following successful cross-examination impeaching petitioner's credibility regarding circumstances surrounding alleged accident, the Commission found petitioner failed to establish her burden of proof. Although the respondent's exposure for medical and TTD benefits alone exceeded \$150,000, the Commission issued "zero" award, which became the final order in the case.

4. *Mary Reese v. Cherry Corp.* (00 WC 41448 and 02 WC 5565; 09 L 50094)

Petitioner sustained compensable injury when she fell to ground while standing on stool to clear jammed machine. She sought immediate medical attention, complaining of pain over multiple parts of body. She underwent protracted work-up with multiple physicians with various specialties before her treating physician eventually opined she had become permanently and totally disabled due to cervical condition. Respondent disputed liability for medical shortly after accident pursuant to IME opinion indicating Petitioner had achieved maximum medical improvement and could return to full duty work. Four years later, Respondent obtained an updated IME with new physician who causally related Petitioner's condition to work accident and recommended cervical surgery. Respondent's IME physician also opined Petitioner could only work in sedentary capacity. Respondent obtained vocational assessment six years after accident, four years after Petitioner's treating physician had declared

her permanently and totally disabled. Respondent's vocational consultant concluded there is viable, stable labor market within Petitioner's sedentary work restrictions as per Respondent's IME physician. Petitioner obtained her own vocational consultant, who opined she is not candidate for vocational rehabilitation and stable labor market does not exist.

Following successful cross-examination and impeachment of Petitioner and her vocational expert at trial, the arbitrator found no credible medical evidence of total disability and deemed Petitioner's vocational evaluation unpersuasive. The arbitrator found Respondent's IME physician's sedentary work release and Respondent's vocational assessment/labor market survey sufficient evidence to establish reasonably stable labor market within Petitioner's permanent work restrictions, thereby precluding "odd lot" permanent total disability.

~~At time of trial, Petitioner was seeking order for seven years of TTD/PTD~~ benefits, as well as finding lumbar condition also related to work accident. Instead, the arbitrator found no causation with respect to lumbar condition and rejected Petitioner's permanent total disability argument. Rather than an award of approximately \$150,000 due at time of trial for accrued benefits, plus future cash value of approximately \$400,000 for permanent total disability award, the arbitrator instead found Petitioner disabled to extent of 50% loss of person as whole, or approximately \$75,000. Petitioner appealed to Commission, and decision was affirmed and adopted by 3-0 vote. Petitioner then appealed Commission's decision to Circuit Court of Cook County. The Circuit Court affirmed the Commission's decision.

5. Robert Bakalla v. SBC/Amertech (02 WC 28253)

Petitioner sustained compensable injury while descending flight of stairs while carrying ladder. His shoulder injury required multiple surgeries and he eventually underwent functional capacity evaluation that outlined permanent work restrictions. It is undisputed Petitioner's permanent work restrictions preclude return to work in pre-accident position for Respondent as telephone repairman. Petitioner underwent vocational assessment at direction of Respondent, and job placement assistance was provided for various time periods. Petitioner testified to performing self-directed job search before, during and after vocational consultant's efforts to return Petitioner to work. Respondent eventually terminated its vocational rehabilitation/job placement assistance efforts.

Although we were never able to actually secure job offer, we successfully argued Petitioner's refusal to work nights and weekends represented lack of good faith in vocational rehabilitation process. Petitioner argued Respondent's unsuccessful job search proved Petitioner is permanently and totally disabled under "odd lot" theory of recovery, but the arbitrator found Petitioner was being overly selective with respect to return to work following successful cross-examination of Petitioner during trial. The future cash value of the permanent total disability award was approximately \$800,000. However, the arbitrator accepted our defense and found Petitioner entitled to wage differential benefits rather than permanent total disability benefits, reducing weekly benefit from approximately \$750 to approximately \$450. Additionally, the arbitrator adopted Respondent's position regarding the termination of

TTD/maintenance benefits, and further agreed with Respondent's projected wage differential since Petitioner had not actually returned to work. Finally, the arbitrator denied Petitioner's request for penalties and fees.

6. James Reichard v. Yellow Freight (98 WC 65437)

Petitioner sustained compensable injury in motor vehicle accident when his truck was blown off road and skidded into steep incline as result of windy, icy conditions. Petitioner testified he was thrown against left side of cab during accident, striking left shoulder and left side of face. Approximately 3-4 hours after accident, petitioner stated he began complaining of chest pain radiating to left arm, as well as shortness of breath. Three days after accident, petitioner underwent triple coronary bypass surgery, which his surgeon causally related to motor vehicle accident at work. Respondent's IME physician opined motor vehicle accident did not cause or contribute to coronary artery dissection, and concluded petitioner's abnormalities were simply progression of underlying coronary artery disease.

The Commission found petitioner's rotator cuff tear, impingement, and adhesive capsulitis related to motor vehicle accident, but found petitioner's heart condition unrelated. The Commission noted causative link between smoking and coronary heart disease, and made specific mention of fact petitioner admitted on cross-examination he had been smoking one pack of cigarettes per day since age 7. The Commission found petitioner's heart condition had progressed to point where any stress could have caused pain and resulting need for bypass surgery. The Commission determined petitioner's pre-existing heart condition and his longstanding smoking habit were most likely causative factors of heart problems, rather than motor vehicle accident. The Arbitrator awarded 35% loss of arm as result of torn rotator cuff, impingement, and secondary adhesive capsulitis, and limited respondent's liability for medical bills to treatment petitioner received to shoulder.

7. Ken Bons v. Yellow Transportation (00 WC 19938)

Petitioner sustained compensable injury resulting in permanent work restrictions. It is undisputed permanent work restrictions prevent him from returning to pre-accident job as truck driver for respondent. Vocational rehabilitation program was initiated by respondent to assist petitioner in return to work. Thereafter, respondent filed motion for leave to suspend benefits claiming petitioner failed to put forth good faith effort in respondent's vocational rehabilitation program. The motion requested suspension of both vocational rehabilitation program and petitioner's maintenance benefits as result of non-compliance. At trial, petitioner and his vocational expert were both successfully impeached on cross-examination on a number of issues.

The arbitrator found petitioner lacking credibility and granted respondent's motion to terminate benefits. Prior to arbitration, respondent paid out \$131,000 in indemnity benefits and \$85,000 in medical benefits. Additionally, based upon petitioner's permanent work restrictions, respondent likely faced Section 8(d)1 wage differential exposure of approximately \$20,000 per year, following liability for further vocational rehabilitation and maintenance benefits. The future cash value of petitioner's Section 8(d)1 wage differential was approximately \$700,000. However, following favorable

trial award terminating respondent's liability for vocational rehabilitation and maintenance benefits, parties reached global settlement agreement.

8. Daniel Hicks v. Parsons Engineering (96 WC 43332)

Petitioner sustained compensable injury and sought vocational rehabilitation and TTD/maintenance benefits, claiming respondent failed to provide appropriate vocational rehabilitation program and improperly terminated benefits. The Commission found respondent complied with §8(a) by locating employment position within petitioner's permanent work restrictions as outlined in functional capacity evaluation, and further determined petitioner failed to make good faith effort in job placement program by refusing to attempt job located by respondent. The Commission determined petitioner's lack of good faith in cooperating with respondent's vocational rehabilitation efforts justified termination of both vocational rehabilitation program and TTD/maintenance benefits.

9. Gloria Gemenez v. Jewel Food Stores (00 WC 43356)

Petitioner sustained compensable injury when box fell on top of her. Thereafter, petitioner sought medical treatment with several different physicians who took her off work and eventually recommended continued pain management. She incurred \$67,000 in medical expenses as result of lumbar radiculopathy and multiple levels of disc bulging, and was off work for more than one year. Respondent's IME physician diagnosed petitioner with work-related lumbosacral strain with mild residual findings and released her to return to full duty work.

After successfully impeaching petitioner's credibility on cross-examination at trial, the arbitrator awarded 11 weeks of TTD benefits rather than 54 weeks sought by petitioner, \$3,500 in medical expenses instead of \$67,000 sought by petitioner, and 7.5% loss of person as whole. The arbitrator adopted respondent's argument by cutting off liability for medical and TTD beyond date that respondent's IME physician indicated petitioner achieved maximum medical improvement. In so doing, respondent avoided liability for medical totaling \$63,500 as well as 42 weeks of TTD benefits.

10. Augustina Zamudio v. Marriott (90 WC 53393)

Petitioner filed petition to reinstate following order for dismissal for want of prosecution, claiming she never received notice of case dismissal. Additionally, petitioner alleged that even if notice was received, she failed to understand or read English. The arbitrator denied reinstatement, and the Commission affirmed on review. The Commission's decision was based upon the cross-examination of petitioner, which established that she was stable in her residency and had resources available to her to translate or interpret any information that she received that she did not understand regarding her case.

11. Mary Handy v. John H. Harland Co. (99 WC 15767)

Petitioner filed an Application for Adjustment of Claim alleging repetitive trauma injury to her left foot. Although petitioner's treating physician repeatedly stated that

she should not return to her employment position with respondent, and specifically provided information to assist in her vocational rehabilitation efforts, respondent maintained this claim on denial posture pursuant to causation dispute. The arbitrator granted respondent's motion to dismiss prior to trial, relying upon respondent's argument that petitioner could not establish her threshold burden of proof. Petitioner's attorney filed motion to vacate the dismissal, but the Commission affirmed the arbitrator's dismissal order.

12. Karma Rabb v. Southwest Airlines. (07 WC 31166)

Petitioner worked as customer service agent and claimed repetitive trauma injury to back as result of repeatedly lifting bags and stepping over bag well. The accident was accepted as compensable, but Respondent denied further medical treatment after Petitioner was released to return to full duty work by Respondent's IME physician. Petitioner's treating physician then referred her to specialist for further treatment. Respondent refused to authorize treatment recommended by Petitioner's specialist, and one year later, this case proceeded to trial pursuant to Section 19(b)/8(a) of the Act. Causal connection, TTD benefits and prospective medical benefits were in dispute at trial, with Petitioner seeking 60 weeks of TTD benefits accrued as of hearing date, plus prospective medical benefits and corresponding TTD benefits.

The arbitrator found the record devoid of any objective medical evidence to substantiate diagnosis beyond soft tissue sprain/strain, notwithstanding the inordinate period of time that Petitioner was off work as of the hearing date. The arbitrator denied Petitioner's claim for TTD benefits and adopted Respondent's IME physician's opinion that Petitioner was capable of working in full duty capacity. Following successful cross-examination of Petitioner at trial, the arbitrator found she was precluded from receiving TTD benefits when she acknowledged she had not submitted any off work slips to Respondent and admitted she had only seen her treating physician 2 or 3 times in previous year. Most significantly, the arbitrator found Petitioner had achieved maximum medical improvement as of Respondent's IME, cutting off liability for all prospective medical treatment as well as retroactive and prospective TTD benefits. Petitioner appealed to Commission, and trial decision was affirmed and adopted by 3-0 vote.

## HENNESSY & ROACH, P.C.

JOSEPH J. HIGGINS  
Hennessy & Roach, P.C.  
140 South Dearborn, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
(312) 346-5315  
(312) 346-5330 (Fax)  
E-mail: [jhiggins@hennessyroach.com](mailto:jhiggins@hennessyroach.com)

Bachelor of Arts - Political Science 1983, University of Florida  
Juris Doctor 1989, The John Marshall Law School  
Admitted to Practice in Illinois and Federal Court 1989

### Professional Affiliations:

Member of The Chicago Bar Association and The Workers' Compensation Lawyers Association

### Practice Areas:

Defense of Workers' Compensation and General Liability matters

### Social Affiliations:

Vice President of the Brehon Society  
Young Irish Fellowship of Chicago

### SIGNIFICANT ARBITRATION DECISIONS

1. *Duzoan Jackson v. Continental Air Transport* (89 WC 41913)

It was undisputed that the petitioner had an accident in which she fell onto her knees and hit her head. The only dispute was whether petitioner suffered any permanent impairment from the injury. The arbitrator held that the petitioner failed to sustain her burden of proof that she had any permanent impairment from her fall. The arbitrator's decision was based primarily on cross-examination of the petitioner as to her termination as respondent's employee and her motives for filing a workers' compensation claim. The decision was appealed by the petitioner and affirmed by the Industrial Commission (91 IIC 2313).

2. *Brenda Thomas v. Disc Jockey and the Wax Works, Inc.* (90 WC 7245, 90 WC 9994)

It was undisputed that the petitioner slipped and fell in a shopping mall parking lot while an employee of the respondent. The petitioner alleged that she had slipped on ice in an area of the parking lot that was designated for mall employees to park. The arbitrator found that there was no increased risk to the petitioner compared to all mall customers and held that the petitioner's accident did not arise out of or in the course of her employment. The arbitrator's decision was based on cross-examination testimony by the petitioner regarding where, when and how she fell. The Industrial Commission affirmed the arbitrator's decision. (92 IIC 1635).

3. Jody Dugger v. Carlinville Area Hospital (92 WC 19533)

The petitioner was diagnosed with hepatitis C and alleged that on September 1, 1997, she was exposed to the hepatitis C virus at work. She was diagnosed with hepatitis C in April of 1991. Petitioner testified that in the early 1980's she had a needle stick from a needle that had been used by a patient infected with hepatitis B. She further indicated that she had worked with two patients on a regular basis that had been tested positive for hepatitis C. Medical testimony from both petitioner and respondent doctors indicated that up to 40% of hepatitis C cases are idiopathic or of an unknown source. The arbitrator found that the petitioner's testimony was not credible and as a result ruled that she failed to prove she was exposed to a hepatitis C virus, at work. In affirming the arbitrator's decision, the Commission held while the petitioner worked with two patients who were diagnosed with hepatitis C there was no indication of any work exposure during the relevant period of time. Therefore, the Commission affirmed the arbitrator's decision. (94 IIC 0809).

4. Lorraine Burkhardt v. Brach & Brock Confections. (96 WC 17748)

The petitioner alleged that she sustained injury to her hands bilaterally as a result of repetitive trauma to the extent that she would be unable to return to her regular job. She was a wage differential with exposure in excess of \$150,000.00. It was her testimony at trial that she had worked in excess of 20 years on an assembly line gathering and moving small chocolate candies from a conveyor belt. We obtained an onsite job video of the exact job and secured depositions of our independent medical evaluator who did not believe that there was a causal relationship and the treating doctor, who in fact did believe there was a causal relationship. The Arbitrator reviewed the videotape and found the opinion of the treating doctor to be inconsistent as to what the tape actually depicted and what the petitioner had advised the doctor what the actual job was. The Arbitrator found that the evaluating physician had an opportunity to review the videotape and was properly educated as to what the exact job was. As a result, the Arbitrator adopted the findings of the independent medical evaluator, who found that the petitioner's present condition of ill-being was not causally related to the injury that was alleged. The decision was affirmed by the Illinois Industrial Commission.

5. James Ashburn v. Film Products Corp. (97 WC 9072)

The petitioner alleged an injury to his low back which occurred on January 24, 1997 and resulted in a herniated disc and subsequent surgery. The arbitrator found that the only evidence that the petitioner introduced with regard to an on the job injury on January 24, 1997 was his own testimony. However, according to the arbitrator, the petitioner's

testimony was impeached by (1) medical records that were devoid of any occupational involvement until over 4 months after the alleged occurrence and (2) testimony of a respondent witness. In addition, cross-examination of the petitioner proved other inconsistencies and the arbitrator eventually held that the petitioner was not a credible witness. Compensation was denied. This case was taken all the way through the Illinois Appellate Court, wherein a mandate was issued by the Appellate Court of the Third District of Illinois on July 11, 2001 affirming the decision at all levels.

6. Timothy Dupree v. Brach's Confections, Inc. (99 WC 21643, 00 WC 07386)

The petitioner testified that he worked for the respondent for 33 years. He testified that a significant portion of his duties involved operating a forklift and that he was required to operate the forklift in a reverse fashion for approximately 90% of the time. He indicated that as a result, he would have to look behind him when the forklift was in reverse. The petitioner was claiming an accident date of March 26, 1999 and May 19, 1999. He eventually was diagnosed with a herniated disc at C5-6 and underwent a fusion surgery. The petitioner had two treating doctors indicating that his current condition of ill-being was a result of his work duties. However, in depositions, neither was willing to testify to this opinion within a reasonable degree of medical and surgical certainty, but rather indicated that the theory was "plausible." Witnesses testified that the petitioner's interpretation of what the job was to be was grossly exaggerated and videotape of the job seemed to corroborate the testimony of respondent's witnesses. The Arbitrator found that in neither case did the petitioner sustain an accident arising out of his employment with the respondent and all benefits were denied. A review was taken and the decision was affirmed.

7. Kara Bismarck-Thurbursh (00 WC 4701)

The petitioner claimed that on October 1, 1999 the respondent was in the process of moving their offices. According to the petitioner, she moved a printer in order to unplug the machine to go to the other office and that shortly thereafter she noted her muscles were sore and achy in her shoulders, neck and upper back. It was acknowledged that she had three prior back surgeries, including fusion in the cervical area and that she had been taking pain medication. However, she testified that as a result of the accident on October 1, 1999, she was not able to return to work and in fact at trial was claiming to be permanently and totally disabled. Two witnesses were called to testify on behalf of the Respondent as it pertained to the actual occurrence and acts and events that the petitioner testified to. The petitioner's testimony was impeached in a number of instances through medical documentation and testimony of respondent's witnesses. As a result, the Arbitrator found that the petitioner did not sustain an accident that arose out of her employment with the respondent on October 1, 1999 and found against any lost time, medical and the permanent total that the petitioner was claiming as a result of the alleged injury of October 1, 1999. A review was taken by the petitioner. Due to the fact that there was a tremendous amount of exposure in excess of \$200,000.00, the case was settled for a nominal amount and the Petition for Review was withdrawn.

8. Miquel Estrada v. Brach & Brock Confections (01 WC 00047)

The petitioner testified that on July 27, 2000, he was struck by a fork-lift wherein he sustained injury to his right shoulder. The accident and any and all reasonable and necessary treatment for the shoulder was accepted and paid for by the Respondent. Approximately eight months after the accident, the petitioner began to note numbness and tingling to his right upper extremity in the elbow and hand. He began to seek treatment for these symptoms, however, treatment was denied by Respondent as not being causally related to the injury of July 27, 2000. Petitioner was eventually diagnosed with cubital tunnel and carpal tunnel syndrome. At trial, the petitioner testified that at the time of the injury, he had no elbow or hand pain. On cross-examination, medical records established and the petitioner testified that he did not realize symptoms of numbness and tingling to the right upper extremity until June of 2001 nearly one year after the original injury. While the Arbitrator did find that the right shoulder was related to the injury of July 27, 2000, he found that the respondent has not liable to pay for any necessary medical services that would involve treatment for the right elbow and/or right wrist. The petitioner reviewed the Arbitrator's decision and the Illinois Industrial Commission affirmed the Arbitrator's findings.

### PRESENTATIONS

#### 1994

- August 4 & 5, 1994 Evolution of Illinois Workers' Compensation Act. From Then To Now - National Seal Corporation, Galesburg, Illinois, CNA insured.
- December 8, 1994 Basic Aspects of the Illinois Workers' Compensation Act -- Presented to Universal Underwriters claim staff.

#### 1995

- February 21, 1995 Strategies for Resolution of Workers' Compensation Claims -- Presented to Alexis claims staff.
- August 3, 1995 Illinois Workers' Compensation Case Law Changes - Presented to Alexis claims staff.

#### 1996

- August 29, 1996 Basic Aspects of Illinois Workers' Compensation Act - Presented to American Stores claims staff.
- November 13, 1996 Basic Strategies for Taking Effective Recorded Statement -- Presented to Dominick's claims staff.

#### 1997

- June 17, 1997 Basic Aspect of the Illinois Workers' Compensation Act and Case Law Update - Presented to CNA Insurance claims staff and CNA clients.

December 9, 1997 Structure of Industrial Commission and General Information about its Operation – Presented to Illinois Claims Managers Association.

1998

February 3, 1998 Overview of the Illinois Workers' Compensation Act, analysis of "arising out of" and "in the course of" - Presented to Universal Underwriters claims staff.

August 28, 1998 The Compensability of Recreational Activity Under Section 11 of the Act – Presented to Dominick's claims staff.

1999

January 22, 1999 The Impact of the McMahan Decision on Claims Involving Disputed Medical Issues: Presented to Illinois Compensation Trust claims staff.

September 29, 1999 Mock Trial/Trial Techniques presented to Workers' Compensation Claims Association.

2000

February 21, 2000 Mock Trial/Trial Techniques: Presented to worker's compensation staff at RSKCo.

August 24, 2000 Mock Trial/Trial Techniques: Presented to several local adjusters in the Indianapolis area.

September 14, 2000 Mock Trial/Trial Techniques: Presented to workers' compensation staff at Chubb Insurance.

October 25, 2000 A Workers' Compensation Case at the Illinois Industrial Commission From Start to Finish: Presented to Tokio Marine Insurance adjusters and a group of their insureds.

2001

October 4, 2001 Mock Trial/Trial Techniques – Presented to numerous risk managers.

2002

January 24, 2002 Accident Investigation and Recorded Statements for Claims Adjusters – Presented to Kemper Insurance claims staff.

June 25, 2002 Mock Trial/Trial Techniques/Accident Investigation and Recorded Statements - Presented to OSI Management Conference.

## HENNESSY & ROACH, P.C.

**JAMES P. KENDZIOR**  
Hennessy & Roach, P.C.  
140 South Dearborn, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
(312) 346-5356  
E-mail: [jkendzior@hennessyroach.com](mailto:jkendzior@hennessyroach.com)

### **Education:**

DePaul University College of Law, Chicago, Illinois - Juris Doctor, 2011  
Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin - Bachelor of Arts, Psychology, 2003  
Admitted to Practice in Illinois 2012

### **Professional Affiliations:**

The Chicago Bar Association

### **Areas of Practice:**

Defense of Workers' Compensation claims in Illinois

### **Significant Appellate Court Decisions:**

1. Brewer, Patricia v. Oracle Corp (07 WC 50828)

The Petitioner alleged she sustained a pulmonary embolism as the result of frequent airplane travel for her employer. The Petitioner's treating physicians opined that her frequent airplane travel may have increased her risk of developing a thromboembolism. The Petitioner was diagnosed with a pulmonary embolism of an unknown origin. Furthermore, a physician who performed a records review opined that there was no evidence in the record to causally relate the Petitioner's embolism with her air travel. At trial, the Petitioner stated that she traveled 100% of the time for her job. However, on cross, she stated that she only travels on an airplane twice a week for a total of two, three hour flights. The arbitrator denied compensation, and held that the Petitioner's embolism did not prove that her embolism arose out of her employment or was causally related to air travel. A petition for review was filed by the Petitioner and the case was argued in front of a panel of commissioners. The Commission affirmed the arbitrator's decision that the Petitioner failed to prove she was at an increased risk for developing a pulmonary thromboembolism and that her embolism was causally related to her alleged frequent air travel.

## HENNESSY & ROACH, P.C.

**ERICA A. LEVIN**  
Hennessy & Roach, P.C.  
140 South Dearborn, 7th Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
(312) 346-5407  
(312) 346-5330 (fax)  
Email: elevin@hennessyroach.com

### **Legal Experience:**

Hennessy & Roach P.C., Associate, 2007-Present

---

Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Illinois, Special Investigations Department, Assistant to the Director/Legal Liaison, 2006-2007

### **Education:**

DePaul University College of Law, Juris Doctor, 2007

Northwestern University, Bachelor of Science in Education, Social Policy major, Sociology minor, 2004

### **Admitted to Practice:**

Illinois Supreme Court, 2007

### **Professional Affiliations:**

American Bar Association  
Chicago Bar Association  
Illinois State Bar Association

### **Practice Areas:**

Defense of Workers' Compensation claims in Illinois

## HENNESSY & ROACH, P.C.

PETER J. PUCHALSKI  
Hennessy & Roach, P.C.  
140 South Dearborn, 7th Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
(312) 377-8985  
(312) 346-5330 (Fax)  
E-mail: ppuchalski@hennessyroach.com

### Legal Experience:

Hennessy & Roach P.C., Associate	December 2008 – Present
Gregorio & Associates, Associate	November 2003 – November 2008

### Education:

The John Marshall Law School, Juris Doctor	June 2003
University of Illinois, Bachelors of Arts	May 2000

### Professional Affiliations:

Workers' Compensation Lawyers Association  
Illinois State Bar Association  
National Advocates Society

### Publications:

*Illinois Construction Negligence, Post-Structural Work Act: The Need for a Clear Legislative Mandate*, 36 J. Marshall L. Rev. 531 (Winter, 2003).

### Practice Areas:

Defense of Workers' Compensation claims in Illinois

## HENNESSY & ROACH, P.C.

JOHN D. WHEELER  
Hennessy & Roach, P.C.  
140 South Dearborn, 7th Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
(312) 377-8986  
(312) 346-5330 (Fax)  
E-mail: [jwheeler@hennessyroach.com](mailto:jwheeler@hennessyroach.com)

### EDUCATION

Chicago-Kent College of Law, Chicago, IL  
LL.M. Program in Financial Services Law  
Spring 2010 - Present

DePaul University College of Law, Chicago, IL  
Juris Doctor, conferred May 2010  
Admitted to the Bar as of November 4, 2010  
Fall 2007 - Spring 2010

Duke University, Durham, NC  
Bachelor of Arts in Political Science, conferred May 2006  
Activities

- Sigma Nu Fraternity  
Spring 2003 - Spring 2006
- Men's Varsity Water Polo  
Spring 2004 - Spring 2006
- Men's Varsity Swimming  
Fall 2002 - Fall 2005

London School of Economics, London, England  
Summer 2005

### EXPERIENCE

Committee on Finance, Chicago, IL  
*Legislative and Policy Analyst*  
August 2006 - August 2007

- Researched and presented policy issues to the Chairman of the Committee
- Drafted ordinances and resolutions for Chicago City Council Meetings
- Prepared agendas for Committee on Finance Meetings
- Organized exploratory hearings on policy matters such as background checks for city contractors

### PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATION

Member of the Chicago Bar Association  
Member of the Illinois Bar Association

### Recent Decisions

1. Leobardo Sanchez v. Southwest Airlines (10 WC 45270 and 10 WC 45271)

RFQ 13-90-048 County-Wide Labor and Employment Consultation and Representation

**EXHIBIT 2**

**Schedule of Compensation**

**ATTACHMENT II**  
**Category and Pricing Form**

**Submittal of the Pricing Form IS required as part of the Qualifications.** While price is not a factor in the evaluation of responses received, pricing will be considered for project-specific letters of engagement for the selected pre-qualified firms.

The Employer reserves the right to negotiate rates that are lower than those set forth in the Pricing Form based on the needs of specific projects. Respondents are encouraged to offer modest fees and flat rates. Consideration will be given to the best rates and government agency discounts.

All hourly fees will be billed in six (6) minute increments. The Employer will not provide any expense related reimbursement (copies, faxes, long distance telephone calls, travel) other than expense reimbursement for final contract preparation (binding and copying) as directed by the Employer or court costs related to litigation filing.

Respondents must outline their pricing according to the format and according to the requirements detailed in Appendix I or the Qualifications may be deemed Non-Responsive.

**Instructions:**

Respondent must enter hourly rates for the applicable categories for which it is submitting Qualifications. Rates include a high and low space for each position if there are variances for the individuals noted in the RFQ response. In some cases, there will not be a need for two different rates so a space may be left blank.

**Category of Submittals:**

Respondents can submit qualifications for one, some, or all of the following specified categories. Check the box next to the category or categories this submittal includes.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CATEGORY I: UNION CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CATEGORY II: CONTRACT INTERPRETATION AND IMPLEMENTATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CATEGORY III: LABOR RELATIONS BOARD MATTERS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CATEGORY IV: WORKERS COMPENSATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CATEGORY V: EMPLOYMENT LAW
<input type="checkbox"/>	CATEGORY VI: EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

**ACCEPTED**  
**ACCEPTED**  
**ACCEPTED**  
**ACCEPTED**  
**ACCEPTED**

**ATTACHMENT II (CON'T)  
Category and Pricing Form**

Pricing:

<b>CATEGORY I: Union Contract Negotiations</b>			
	Partner *	Hourly Rate Associate	Paralegal
	\$ 230.00	\$ 175.00	\$

<b>CATEGORY II: Contract Interpretation and Implementation</b>			
	Partner *	Hourly Rate Associate	Paralegal
	\$ 230.00	\$ 175.00	\$

<b>CATEGORY III: Labor Relations Board Matters</b>			
	Partner *	Hourly Rate Associate	Paralegal
	\$ 230.00	\$ 175.00	\$

<b>CATEGORY IV: Workers Compensation</b>			
	Partner	Hourly Rate Associate	Paralegal
	\$ 175.00	\$ 155.00	\$

<b>CATEGORY V: Employment Law</b>			
	Partner *	Hourly Rate Associate	Paralegal
	\$ 230.00	\$ 155.00	\$

<b>CATEGORY VI: Employee Benefits</b>			
	Partner	Hourly Rate Associate	Paralegal
N/A	\$	\$	\$

Respondent (Name of Firm/Individual): Hennessy + Roach, P.C.

\* NOTE: The Partner Hourly Rate for Categories I, II, III and V also applies to Of Counsel John J. Murphy, Jr.

RFQ 13-90-048 County-Wide Labor and Employment Consultation and Representation

**EXHIBIT 3**

**Evidence of Insurance**



RFQ 13-90-048 County-Wide Labor and Employment Consultation and Representation

**EXHIBIT 4**

**Board Authorization**

**BUREAU OF HUMAN RESOURCES continued**

**CONTRACT continued**

**ITEM #58 cont'd**

**CATEGORY IV-WORKER'S COMPENSATION**

Peterson Johnson Murray  
Nyhan Bambrick Kinzie & Lowry, P.C.  
Seyfarth Shaw, LLP

Quintairos, Prieto Wood & Boyer, P.A.  
Hennessy & Roach, P.C.

**CATEGORY V-EMPLOYMENT LAW**

Laner, Muchin, Dombrow, Becker, Levin Tominburg  
Burke Burns & Pinelli, Ltd.  
Brothers & Thompson, P.C.  
Del Gado Law Group, LLC  
Littler Mendelson, P.C.  
Arstein & Lehr, LLP  
Greene and Letts Attorneys at Law  
Peterson Johnson Murray

Schuyler Roche Crisham, P.C.  
Seyfarth Shaw, LLP  
Neal & Leroy, LLC  
Querry & Harrow, Ltd.  
Quarles & Brady, LLP  
Hennessy & Roach, P.C.  
Ancel Glink Diamond Bush Dicianni & Krafthefer  
Franczek Radelet Attorneys & Counselors

**CATEGORY VI-EMPLOYEE BENEFITS**

Laner, Muchin, Dombrow, Becker, Levin Tominburg  
Littler Mendelson, P.C.  
Schuyler Roche Crisham, P.C.  
Seyfarth Shaw, LLP

Ancel Glink Diamond Bush Dicianni & Krafthefer  
Franczek Radelet Attorneys & Counselors  
Arstein & Lehr, LLP

Reason: The selected firm(s) and/or attorney(s) ~~shall~~ may provide legal consultation and representation services for various County bureaus, agencies, and offices of elected officials on labor and employment matters. The selected firm(s) and/or attorney(s) ~~shall~~ may be asked to provide legal consultation and representation services in one or more areas including union contract negotiations, contract interpretation and implementation, labor relations board matters, workers compensation and employment law.

The selected firm(s) or attorney(s) will work closely with in-house counsel, staff and hired experts to provide services to the County.

Estimated Fiscal Impact \$1,900,000.00 (FY 2013: \$900,000.00; FY 2014: \$700,000.00; and FY 2015: \$300,000.00. (Various-261 Accounts)

Approval of this item would commit Fiscal Year 2014 funds.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

**EXHIBIT 5**

**Cook County Travel Policy**



**COOK COUNTY  
TRANSPORTATION  
EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT  
AND TRAVEL REGULATIONS  
POLICY**

**Adopted: FY2009**

## COOK COUNTY TRANSPORTATION EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT

### SECTION I. AUTOMOBILE REIMBURSEMENT PLAN

- A. Any employee who is required and authorized to use their personally owned automobile in the conduct of official County Business shall be allowed and reimbursed. The number of County business miles driven per ½ month will be compensated at the standard IRS deduction for business related transportation currently in effect and authorized by the Bureau of Administration. IRS mileage rates adjusted midyear will not be made retroactive.
- B. In addition, parking and tolls shall be allowed for reimbursement if items are supported by receipts. Proof of IPASS charges shall be submitted along with the Transportation Expense Voucher.

### SECTION II. GUIDELINES

- A. **Commuting Expenses**
- Commuting expenses between an employee's home and regular place of assignment will not be reimbursed, even if an employee's regular place of assignment is at different locations on different days within the County.
- Example: An employee working for the Assessor's Office is regularly assigned to the Assessor's Office in Markham on Mondays and to the Assessor's Office in Maywood on Tuesdays through Fridays. Travel expenses to and from the employee's home and Assessor's Office on any day will not be reimbursed when assignments are permanent.*
- B. **Temporary and Minor Assignments (residence to temporary duty point)**
- Employees who are required to perform County business in the form of temporary and minor assignments beyond the general area of their regular place of assignment in the County may be reimbursed for their transportation expenses between home and their first or last stop, for such travel attributed to County business.
- Mileage to first stop or from last stop between home and temporary place of assignment may be allowed and reimbursed.
- Authorization for reimbursement for transportation between home and first or last stop shall only be allowed when, in the judgment of the Department head, reporting to the regular place of assignment is not reasonable because of the elements of time, place, business purpose and employee effectiveness. The assignment must be temporary and not indefinite.

**C. Temporary and Minor Assignments (mileage between temporary duty points)**

Employees who receive one or more temporary assignments in a day may be reimbursed for transportation for getting from one place to the other. Mileage from the employee's regular place of assignment, or first duty point, to all temporary duty points and back to regular place of assignment, or last duty point, is entitled to reimbursement.

**D. General Guidelines**

1. Mileage must be computed on the basis of the most direct route. Any mileage incurred solely for personal reasons is not reimbursable.
2. Employees must bear the cost of their normal commuting expenses between residence and official place of assignment.
3. Close supervision shall be maintained over the use of privately owned vehicles by the Department Heads. Authorization for use of privately owned vehicles shall only be given when deemed a service and benefit to Cook County Government. Reimbursements for transportation shall only be as compensation for services performed for the County.

**SECTION III. TRANSPORTATION EXPENSE VOUCHER**

**A. Preparation**

1. All claims for compensation of transportation expenses including the use of privately owned automobile and incidental parking fees and tolls, and taxicab and bus fares shall be submitted and itemized in the Transportation Expense Voucher. (For each stop of business use, enter date, started from location, finished at location, miles and expense between each stop. Total the dollar amount and enter in the space for "Total.")
2. When travel between home and first or last temporary duty point is authorized, the employee's residence shall be entered on the Transportation Expense Voucher, "Started from Location" or "Finished at Location."
3. The Transportation Expense Voucher shall be supported by receipts for all items, individually.
4. The Transportation Expense Voucher shall be prepared and signed by the individual who has incurred the expense and signed by their Supervisor. The original Voucher shall be submitted to the Comptroller's Office and a copy should be retained by the employee and by the department. Falsification of a Transportation Expense Voucher is considered a major cause infraction subject to disciplinary action up to and including discharge.

5. The individual submitting the Transportation Expense Voucher is personally responsible for its accuracy and priority. Trip details shall be entered immediately following automobile use to eliminate possibility of errors. The form must be completed in its entirety, e.g., insurance coverage.

**B. Approval and Submission**

1. The Transportation Expense Voucher shall be approved by the Department Head or a designated representative, who shall sign the original copy of the Transportation Expense Voucher. The original Voucher shall be sent to the Comptroller's Office by the 10<sup>th</sup> day of the following month in which the travel expense was incurred. Transportation Expense Vouchers submitted 60 days after the end of the month in which travel expense was incurred will not be reimbursed. A copy of the Transportation Expense Voucher shall be retained by the department and the employee.
2. Any Transportation Expense Voucher not prepared in accordance with these regulations, including the proper signatures, will be returned to the originator for corrections.

**C. Authorized Attendance at Seminars, Meetings, Conventions, etc., on County Business**

These expenses shall be detailed in accordance with the procedure relating to "Cook County Travel Regulations."

**SECTION IV. COUNTY-OWNED AUTOMOBILE**

Section 162(a)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code requires that any employee who is assigned a County-owned vehicle for use in performance of the employee's duties and who uses the vehicle for use in performance of the employee's duties and who uses the vehicle to commute from home to work and/or from work to home must include in their compensation the value to the employee (as provided for by the IRS) for each day such vehicle is used for commuting purposes, and Cook County must include this compensation on employee W-2 form.

The use of County-owned vehicles for personal use is prohibited.

## COOK COUNTY TRAVEL REGULATIONS

### SECTION I TRAVEL EXPENSES

- A. Travel expenses are ordinary and necessary expenses for transportation, hotel accommodations, meals and incidental expenses for travel that is longer than an ordinary day's work, and the employee needs to get sleep or rest during non-working time while away.

Reimbursements shall be allowed if the following requirements are met:

1. Travel is for periods more than or equal to be employee's scheduled workdays hours, plus 2 hours (usually 10 hours).
2. The employee must get sleep or rest while away in order to complete County business. (This does not mean napping in the car.)
3. Lodging and air travel shall be arranged through a County travel vendor, as specified by the Purchasing Agent.

### SECTION II RESPONSIBILITY OF DEPARTMENT HEAD

- A. The Department Head is responsible for the execution of all travel regulations as well as such other policies and guidelines regarding travel as published by the Bureau of Administration.
- B. All travel subject to these regulations shall be authorized in advance by the Department Head in accordance with current County directives.
- C. Each Department shall develop a system for the prior authorization and control of travel to prevent expenses exceeding appropriations and to hold travel to the minimum required for efficient and economical conduct of County business.
- D. The rates for reimbursements set forth in these regulations represent the maximums permitted under IRS guidelines.

### SECTION III ALLOWABLE TRANSPORTATION EXPENSE

- A. Modes of transportation authorized for official travel in the course of County business will include automobiles, railroads, airlines, buses, taxicabs, and other usual means of conveyance. Transportation may include fares and expenses incidental to transportation such as baggage transfer, official telephone messages in connection with items classed as transportation, and reasonable tips.
- B. All taxicab fares shall be accompanied by a receipt indicating the amount paid.

- C. Transportation between place of lodging and place of business at a temporary work location shall be allowed as a transportation expense.

**SECTION IV            MODE OF TRAVEL**

- A. All travel shall be by the most direct route.
- B. In cases where an individual for their own convenience travels by an indirect route or interrupts travel by direct route, that individual shall bear the extra expense. Reimbursement for expenses shall be based only on such charges as would have been incurred by the most direct and economical route.
- C. All travel shall be by the most economical mode of transportation available, considering travel time, costs, and work requirements.

**SECTION V            ACCOMMODATIONS ON AIRPLANES, TRAINS, AND BUSES**

- A. First class travel is prohibited
- B. Travel on airplanes shall be coach class.
- C. Any charges incurred as a result of changes to an original airline reservation made prior to or during travel are subject to Department Head approval.

**SECTION VI            USE OF PRIVATELY OWNED OR RENTED CONVEYANCE**

- A. When an individual rendering service to the County uses privately owned motor vehicles in the conduct of official business and such use is authorized or approved as advantageous to the County, payment shall be made on a mileage basis at rates not to exceed those published by the Bureau of Administration.
- B. Reimbursement for the cost of automobile parking fees and tolls shall be allowed. The fee for parking an automobile at a common carrier terminal, or other parking area, while the traveler is on official business, shall be allowed only to the extent that the fee does not exceed the cost of public transportation.
- C. When a privately owned automobile is used for travel, the total transportation cost (including mileage allowance, parking fees, tolls and per diem expenses) shall not exceed the cost of public transportation, if reasonable public transportation is available.
- D. The use of rented automobiles will be kept to an absolute minimum and rented only in an emergency upon prior approval of the responsible Department Head. Every effort shall be made to obtain other suitable transportation rather than to use rented vehicles. Where emergencies require the use of a rented vehicle, the most economical vehicle available and suitable for the conduct of County business shall be obtained.

**SECTION VII LIVING EXPENSES**

**A. Meals and Incidental Expense (M&IE)**

Employees assigned to out of town travel shall receive a per diem set by the current U.S. General Services Administration in their Federal Travel Regulations (FTR) Meal and Incidental Expense (M&IE) rate. Travel rates differ by travel location and are periodically revised by the Federal Government. These rates can be found at the GSA "Domestic Per Diem Rates" website page at [www.gsa.gov/perdiem](http://www.gsa.gov/perdiem).

The per diem rate is intended to include all meals and incidental expenses during the period of travel. There will be no reimbursement for meals and incidental expenses beyond this rate.

In addition, the traveler may receive reimbursement for special expenses as provided in Paragraph "C-3" below.

**B. Travel Without Lodging**

When lodging is not required, the per diem M&IE allowance is not permitted. Travel shall be on "actual expenses incurred."

**C. Reimbursable Expenses**

1. Lodging - Reasonable costs of hotel accommodations incurred will be allowed. Lodging shall be reimbursed by receipt up to the limits of the current Federal Travel Regulations as shown on the GSA "Domestic Per Diem Rates" website page at [www.gsa.gov/perdiem](http://www.gsa.gov/perdiem).

Questions of reasonable hotel accommodations should be referred to the Bureau of Administration. Receipts are to be submitted with the Invoice Form to support accommodation expenses claimed.

2. Transportation - Transportation to and from duty point; between places of lodging, business and meals shall be allowed.
3. Special Expenses - The reasonable cost of miscellaneous expenses incurred shall be allowed to a traveler. The following are examples of miscellaneous expenses that may be deemed reimbursable or non-reimbursable:

<u>Reimbursable</u>	<u>Non-Reimbursable</u>
Stenographic and Typing Services	Entertainment
Storage of Baggage	Alcoholic Beverages
Hire of Room for Official Business	Traffic Tickets
Telephone Calls on Official Business	

All special expenses shall be itemized on the Conference and Travel Reimbursement Voucher with receipts attached.

## **SECTION VIII      CONFERENCES**

When the cost of meals for approved seminars or official meetings is an integral part of the Registration Fee, the "per diem" traveler shall deduct such amounts from the "cost of meals and incidental expenses" allowance, and the traveler on "actual expenses incurred" shall not claim meals which are included in the conference fee.

## **SECTION IX      CONFERENCE AND TRAVEL REIMBURSEMENT VOUCHER**

### **A.      Memorandum of Expenditures**

A memorandum of all travel expenditures properly chargeable to the County shall be kept by individuals subject to these regulations. The information thus accumulated shall be available for proper Invoice Form preparation.

### **B.      Conference and Travel Reimbursement Voucher Preparation**

1.      All claims for reimbursement of travel expenses shall be submitted on the Conference and Travel Reimbursement Voucher and shall be itemized in accordance with these regulations.
2.      The Conference and Travel Reimbursement Voucher shall show the purpose of travel, the dates of travel, the points of departure and destination, mode of transportation, and the cost of the transportation secured or mileage allowance if automobile is used.
3.      The Conference and Travel Reimbursement Voucher shall be supported by receipts in all instances for railroad and airplane transportation, for lodging, meals and incidental expense (M&IE) items, and all other items. Also, a copy of the travel authorization is to be included for out-of-state travel.
4.      The Conference and Travel Reimbursement Voucher shall be prepared and signed by the individual who has incurred the expenses.
5.      The individual submitting the Conference and Travel Reimbursement Voucher is personally responsible for accuracy and propriety. A misrepresentation shall be cause for disciplinary or legal action.

### **C.      Approval and Submission of Invoice Form**

1.      The Conference and Travel Reimbursement Voucher shall be approved by the Department Head or a designated representative, who shall sign the original Voucher and submit to the Comptroller's Office. A copy of the Voucher shall be retained by the Department as well as the person submitting the Voucher.
2.      Any Conference and Travel Reimbursement Voucher not prepared in accordance with these regulations or not properly supported by receipts where required will be returned to the originator for correction.

**D. Frequency of Submission**

The original Conference and Travel Reimbursement Voucher shall be sent to the Comptroller's Office by the 10<sup>th</sup> day of the following month in which the travel expense was incurred. Conference and Travel Reimbursement Vouchers submitted 60 days after the end of the month in which travel expense was incurred will not be reimbursed. A copy of the Conference and Travel Reimbursement Voucher shall be retained by the department and the employee.

**EXHIBIT 6**

**Sample Engagement Letter**

SAMPLE ENGAGEMENT LETTER

[Date]

[Law Firm]

Dear:

This is to advise you that your law firm has been selected from among those firms determined qualified and approved on the list of pre-qualified firms to represent the County in \_\_\_\_\_ Pursuant to the Request for Qualifications, your firm entered into a Master Agreement with Cook County which delineated the term of representation. Those terms are incorporated herein. The purpose of this letter is to describe the terms of your retention for \_\_\_\_\_.

**Scope of Work**

[Enter Scope of Work Here]

**Staffing**

Outside counsel will be responsible for preparing a written staffing plan for each assignment, which plan shall be sent to the County's or Employer's authorized representative prior to the commencement of the work for review and approval. Outside counsel shall include the written staffing plan, which shall include all Key Personnel as described in the Master Agreement for Legal Services, with the executed Letter of Engagement. Outside counsel is strongly encouraged to staff these assignments in a cost effective and efficient manner. Generally your firm will not be expected to have more than one attorney directly involved unless authorized by the County's or Employer's authorized representative, although outside counsel is encouraged to utilize junior partners, associates and paralegals to perform appropriate bargaining-related follow-up tasks and tasks related to ancillary litigation to the maximum extent possible.

**While the County or Employer recognizes that counsel within the firm will at times be required to meet (either in person or otherwise) to discuss the status of ongoing assignments, only one attorneys time should be billed for those conferences and the County will only pay the firm for the time of one attorney. If more than one attorney's time is billed for attendance at a meeting, the County will compensate the firm at the lowest billing rate of those attending the meeting or otherwise engaging in a conference about ongoing work.**

### **Billing Rates and Submission of Statements**

Statements must be submitted monthly reflecting work performed by your firm for the preceding month. Each separate project shall be billed as a separate matter; ancillary litigation assigned to counsel will likewise be billed as a separate matter. The matter description shall be sufficiently clear to apprise the County's authorized representative of the matter being billed.

Statements of work performed shall be sufficiently detailed to apprise the County of the work performed and the person by whom it was performed. Outside counsel should not engage in single day "block billing" of services performed by an individual, but must specify the time spent on each task performed that day.

The County will only reimburse outside counsel for expenses directly related to the representation of the County in the matter. The County will not reimburse outside counsel for the following expenses: secretarial services, facsimile charges, in-house photocopying, in-house "messenger service" for delivery of documents between attorney offices, the cost of computerized legal research (e.g., accessing databases such as Westlaw or Lexis/Nexis), meals or refreshments of any type, mileage or other reimbursement for travel and any other like expenses. The County should also be directly billed for all court reporter fees and for fees in connection with service of process, and the firm should not seek reimbursement for these services directly.

The County reserves the right to review all statements and to reject payment of any fees or costs deemed not reasonably necessary to outside counsel's performance of the engagement or not in compliance with the terms of this retention letter.

Billing rates for counsel shall be as follows:

[Enter Billing Rates Here]

Billing rates will be reviewed annually at the beginning of each calendar year and may be adjusted at the sole discretion of the County.

If these terms are agreeable to you, please indicate your assent by counter-signing two copies of the document in the space indicated and returning them. The principal directly responsible for the work must sign this retention letter. Please provide all contact information (including cell phone, office phone, fax and e-mail) for the attorneys who will be engaged in representation of the County. The original of this letter is for your records.

Thank you again for your interest in representing Cook County in this matter. We look forward to working with you in the coming months and years.

Sincerely,

\_\_\_\_\_

appropriate.

I agree to the terms set forth above and Understand that the firm is an independent Contractor, terminable at-will as the County deems.

[Law Firm Information]

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
[Authorized Representative]

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Received Fully Executed Agreement:

\_\_\_\_\_  
[Using Department's Representative]

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**EXHIBIT 7**

**Economic Disclosure Statement (EDS)**

**ECONOMIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  
AND EXECUTION DOCUMENT  
INDEX**

<b>Section</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Pages</b>
Instructions	Instructions for Completion of EDS	EDS i - ii
1	MBE/WBE Utilization Plan	EDS 1
2	Letter of Intent	EDS 2
3	Petition for Reduction/Waiver of MBE/WBE Participation Goals	EDS 3
4	Certifications	EDS 4, 5
5	Economic and Other Disclosures, Affidavit of Child Support Obligations and Disclosure of Ownership Interest	EDS 6 – 12
6	Sole Proprietor Signature Page	EDS 13a/b/c
7	Partnership Signature Page	EDS 14/a/b/c
8	Limited Liability Corporation Signature Page	EDS 15a/b/c
9	Corporation Signature Page	EDS 16a/b/c
10	Cook County Signature Page	EDS 17

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF  
ECONOMIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND EXECUTION DOCUMENT**

This Economic Disclosure Statement and Execution Document ("EDS") is to be completed and executed by every Bidder on a County contract, every party responding to a Request for Proposals or Request for Qualifications ("Proposer"), and others as required by the Chief Procurement Officer. If the Undersigned is awarded a contract pursuant to the procurement process for which this EDS was submitted (the "Contract"), this Economic Disclosure Statement and Execution Document shall stand as the Undersigned's execution of the Contract.

**Definitions.** Capitalized terms used in this EDS and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings given to such terms in the Instructions to Bidders, General Conditions, Request for Proposals, Request for Qualifications, or other documents, as applicable.

**"Affiliated Entity"** means a person or entity that, directly or indirectly: controls the Bidder, is controlled by the Bidder, or is, with the Bidder, under common control of another person or entity. Indicia of control include, without limitation, interlocking management or ownership; identity of interests among family members; shared facilities and equipment; common use of employees; and organization of a business entity following the ineligibility of a business entity to do business with the County under the standards set forth in the Certifications included in this EDS, using substantially the same management, ownership or principals as the ineligible entity.

**"Bidder," "Proposer," "Undersigned," or "Applicant,"** is the person or entity executing this EDS. Upon award and execution of a Contract by the County, the Bidder, Proposer, Undersigned or Applicant, as the case may be, shall become the Contractor or Contracting Party.

**"Proposal,"** for purposes of this EDS, is the Undersigned's complete response to an RFP/RFQ, or if no RFQ/RFP was issued by the County, the "Proposal" is such other proposal, quote or offer submitted by the Undersigned, and in any event a "Proposal" includes this EDS.

**"Code"** means the Code of Ordinances, Cook County, Illinois available through the Cook County Clerk's Office website (<http://www.cookctyclerk.com/sub/ordinances.asp>). This page can also be accessed by going to [www.cookctyclerk.com](http://www.cookctyclerk.com), clicking on the tab labeled "County Board Proceedings," and then clicking on the link to "Cook County Ordinances."

**"Contractor" or "Contracting Party"** means the Bidder, Proposer or Applicant with whom the County has entered into a Contract.

**"EDS"** means this complete Economic Disclosure Statement and Execution Document, including all sections listed in the Index and any attachments.

**"Lobby" or "lobbying"** means to, for compensation, attempt to influence a County official or County employee with respect to any County matter.

**"Lobbyist"** means any person or entity who lobbies.

**"Prohibited Acts"** means any of the actions or occurrences which form the basis for disqualification under the Code, or under the Certifications hereinafter set forth.

**Sections 1 through 3: MBE/WBE Documentation.** Sections 1 and 2 must be completed in order to satisfy the requirements of the County's MBE/WBE Ordinance, as set forth in the Contract Documents, if applicable. If the Undersigned believes a waiver is appropriate and necessary, Section 3, the Petition for Waiver of MBE/WBE Participation must be completed.

**Section 4: Certifications.** Section 4 sets forth certifications that are required for contracting parties under the Code. Execution of this EDS constitutes a warranty that all the statements and certifications contained, and all the facts stated, in the Certifications are true, correct and complete as of the date of execution.

**Section 5: Economic and Other Disclosures Statement.** Section 5 is the County's required Economic and Other Disclosures Statement form. Execution of this EDS constitutes a warranty that all the information provided in the EDS is true, correct and complete as of the date of execution, and binds the Undersigned to the warranties, representations, agreements and acknowledgements contained therein.

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF  
ECONOMIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND EXECUTION DOCUMENT**

**Sections 6, 7, 8, 9: Execution Forms.** The Bidder executes this EDS, and the Contract, by completing and signing three copies of the appropriate Signature Page. Section 6 is the form for a sole proprietor; Section 7 is the form for a partnership or joint venture; Section 8 is the form for a Limited Liability Corporation, and Section 9 is the form for a corporation. Proper execution requires **THREE ORIGINALS**; therefore, the appropriate Signature Page must be filled in, three copies made, and all three copies must be properly signed, notarized and submitted. The forms may be printed and completed by typing or hand writing the information required.

**Required Updates.** The information provided in this EDS will be kept current. In the event of any change in any information provided, including but not limited to any change which would render inaccurate or incomplete any certification or statement made in this EDS, the Undersigned will supplement this EDS up to the time the County takes action, by filing an amended EDS or such other documentation as is requested.

**Additional Information.** The County's Governmental Ethics and Campaign Financing Ordinances, impose certain duties and obligations on persons or entities seeking County contracts, work, business, or transactions. For further information please contact the Director of Ethics at (312) 603-4304 (69 W. Washington St. Suite 3040, Chicago, IL 60602) or visit our web-site at [www.cookcountygov.com](http://www.cookcountygov.com) and go to the Ethics Department link. The Bidder must comply fully with the applicable ordinances.

RFQ No. 13-90-048 County-Wide Labor and Employment Consultation and Representation  
**MBE/WBE UTILIZATION PLAN (SECTION 1)**

BIDDER/PROPOSER HEREBY STATES that all MBE/WBE firms included in this Plan are certified MBEs/WBEs by at least one of the entities listed in the General Conditions.

I. **BIDDER/PROPOSER MBE/WBE STATUS:** (check the appropriate line)

- Bidder/Proposer is a certified MBE or WBE firm. (If so, attach copy of appropriate Letter of Certification)
- Bidder/Proposer is a Joint Venture and one or more Joint Venture partners are certified MBEs or WBEs. (If so, attach copies of Letter(s) of Certification, a copy of Joint Venture Agreement clearly describing the role of the MBE/WBE firm(s) and its ownership interest in the Joint Venture and a completed Joint Venture Affidavit – available from the Office of Contract Compliance)
- Bidder/Proposer is not a certified MBE or WBE firm, nor a Joint Venture with MBE/WBE partners, but will utilize MBE and WBE firms either directly or indirectly in the performance of the Contract. (If so, complete Sections II and III).

II.  Direct Participation of MBE/WBE Firms  Indirect Participation of MBE/WBE Firms

Where goals have not been achieved through direct participation, Bidder/Proposer shall include documentation outlining efforts to achieve Direct Participation at the time of Bid/Proposal submission. Indirect Participation will only be considered after all efforts to achieve Direct Participation have been exhausted. Only after written documentation of Good Faith Efforts is received will Indirect Participation be considered.

MBEs/WBEs that will perform as subcontractors/suppliers/consultants include the following:

MBE/WBE Firm: Greene and Letts

Address: 55 W. Monroe Street, Suite 600, Chicago, IL 60603

E-mail: mpgreene@greeneandletts.com

Contact Person: Martin P. Greene Phone: 312-345-1100

Dollar Amount Participation: \$ To be determined at time of any Letter of Engagement

Percent Amount of Participation: \_\_\_\_\_ %

\*Letter of Intent attached? Yes  No \_\_\_\_\_  
\*Letter of Certification attached? Yes  No \_\_\_\_\_

MBE/WBE Firm: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact Person: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

Dollar Amount Participation: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Percent Amount of Participation: \_\_\_\_\_ %

\*Letter of Intent attached? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
\*Letter of Certification attached? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Attach additional sheets as needed.

\*Additionally, all Letters of Intent, Letters of Certification and documentation of Good Faith Efforts omitted from this bid/proposal must be submitted to the Office of Contract Compliance so as to assure receipt by the Contract Compliance Administrator not later than three (3) business days after the Bid Opening date.

RFQ No. 13-90-048 County-Wide Labor and Employment Consultation and Representation  
**COOK COUNTY GOVERNMENT LETTER OF INTENT (SECTION 2)**

M/WBE Firm: Greene and Letts Certifying Agency: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: 55 W. Monroe St., Suite 600 Certification Expiration Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
City/State: Chicago, IL Zip: 60603 FEIN #: \_\_\_\_\_  
Phone: 312-346-1100 Fax: \_\_\_\_\_ Contact Person: \_\_\_\_\_  
Email: mpgreene@greeneandletts.com Contract #: \_\_\_\_\_

Participation: [ ] Direct [ ] Indirect

Will the M/WBE firm be subcontracting any of the performance of this contract to another firm?

[X] No [ ] Yes - Please attach explanation. Proposed Subcontractor: \_\_\_\_\_

The undersigned M/WBE is prepared to provide the following Commodities/Services for the above named Project/ Contract:

Providing labor and employment consultation and representation to Cook County and/or to various elected officials.

Indicate the Dollar Amount, or Percentage, and the Terms of Payment for the above-described Commodities/ Services:

To be determined at time of any Letter of Engagement.

(If more space is needed to fully describe M/WBE Firm's proposed scope of work and/or payment schedule, attach additional sheets)

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES AGREE that this Letter of Intent will become a binding Subcontract Agreement conditioned upon the Bidder/Proposer's receipt of a signed contract from the County of Cook. The Undersigned Parties do also certify that they did not affix their signatures to this document until all areas under Description of Service/ Supply and Fee/Cost were completed.

[Signature]  
Signature (M/WBE)

Martin P. Greene  
Print Name

Greene and Letts  
Firm Name

February, 2013  
Date

Subscribed and sworn before me

this 21 day of February, 2013  
Notary Public: [Signature]

SEAL



[Signature]  
Signature (Prime Bidder/Proposer)

Hennessy & Roach, P.C.  
Print Name

Hennessy & Roach, P.C.  
Firm Name

February, 2013  
Date

Subscribed and sworn before me

this 21 day of February, 2013  
Notary Public: [Signature]

SEAL



EDS-2

**PETITION FOR WAIVER OF MBE/WBE PARTICIPATION (SECTION 3)**

**A. BIDDER/PROPOSER HEREBY REQUESTS:**

- FULL MBE WAIVER                       FULL WBE WAIVER
- REDUCTION (PARTIAL MBE and/or WBE PARTICIPATION)
- \_\_\_\_\_ % of Reduction for MBE Participation
- \_\_\_\_\_ % of Reduction for WBE Participation

**B. REASON FOR FULL/REDUCTION WAIVER REQUEST**

Bidder/Proposer shall check each item applicable to its reason for a waiver request. Additionally, supporting documentation shall be submitted with this request. If such supporting documentation cannot be submitted with bid/proposal/quotation, such documentation shall be submitted directly to the Office of Contract Compliance no later than three (3) days from the date of submission date.

- (1) Lack of sufficient qualified MBEs and/or WBEs capable of providing the goods or services required by the contract. **(Please explain)**
- (2) The specifications and necessary requirements for performing the contract make it impossible or economically infeasible to divide the contract to enable the contractor to utilize MBEs and/or WBEs in accordance with the applicable participation. **(Please explain)**
- (3) Price(s) quoted by potential MBEs and/or WBEs are above competitive levels and increase cost of doing business and would make acceptance of such MBE and/or WBE bid economically impracticable, taking into consideration the percentage of total contract price represented by such MBE and/or WBE bid. **(Please explain)**
- (4) There are other relevant factors making it impossible or economically infeasible to utilize MBE and/or WBE firms. **(Please explain)**

**C. GOOD FAITH EFFORTS TO OBTAIN MBE/WBE PARTICIPATION**

- (1) Made timely written solicitation to identified MBEs and WBEs for utilization of goods and/or services; and provided MBEs and WBEs with a timely opportunity to review and obtain relevant specifications, terms and conditions of the proposal to enable MBEs and WBEs to prepare an informed response to solicitation. **(Please attach)**
- (2) Followed up initial solicitation of MBEs and WBEs to determine if firms are interested in doing business. **(Please attach)**
- (3) Advertised in a timely manner in one or more daily newspapers and/or trade publication for MBEs and WBEs for supply of goods and services. **(Please attach)**
- (4) Used the services and assistance of the Office of Contract Compliance staff. **(Please explain)**
- (5) Engaged MBEs & WBEs for indirect participation. **(Please explain)**

**D. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION**

Attach any other documentation relative to Good Faith Efforts in complying with MBE/WBE participation.



ILLINOIS

Pat Quinn, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF CENTRAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES

November 1, 2012

Eileen M Letts  
Greene And Letts  
111 West Washington Street  
Suite 1650  
Chicago, IL 60602-2754

Certification Term Expires: November 1, 2013

Re: NCA Certification Approval (MBE)

Dear Business Owner:

**Congratulations! After reviewing the information that you supplied in the No-Change Affidavit (NCA), we are pleased to inform you that your firm has been granted continued certification under the Business Enterprise Program for Minorities, Females and Persons with Disabilities. Your firm's name will remain in the State's Directory as a certified vendor with BEP.**

**Please be advised, while this certification does not guarantee you will receive a State contract, it does assure your firm the opportunity to participate in the State's procurement process. Your firm's participation on State contracts will be credited only toward Minority Business Enterprise (MBE) goals in your area(s) of specialty. Your firm's name will appear in the State's Directory as a certified vendor with the Business Enterprise Program (BEP) in the specialty area(s) of:**

**SERVICES, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL  
SERVICES, ATTORNEYS  
SERVICES, LEGAL**

**Please visit our website at [www.sell2.illinois.gov](http://www.sell2.illinois.gov) to obtain information about current and upcoming procurement opportunities, contracts, forms, and also to register to receive email alerts when the State is preparing to purchase a product or service you may provide.**

**Thank you for your participation in the Business Enterprise Program (BEP). We welcome your participation and wish you continued success.**

Sincerely,

  
Gladys Rodriguez  
Certification Manager  
Business Enterprise Program

(L49MBE)



# CHICAGO MINORITY SUPPLIER DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL

THIS CERTIFIES THAT

## GREENE AND LETTS

Has met the requirements for certification as a bona fide Minority Business Enterprise as defined by the National Minority Supplier Development Council, Inc. (NMSDC) and as adopted by the Chicago Minority Supplier Development Council.

**\*\*NAICS Codes: 541110**

**\*\*Description of their product/services as defined by the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS)**

**Product/Service Description: LEGAL SERVICES**

**8/31/2012**

*Issued Date*

**8/31/2013**

*Expiration Date*

**CH1785**

*Certificate Number*

*Julien C. Paul Morgan*  
President, ChicagoMSDC

By using your assigned (through NMSDC only) password, NMSDC Corporate Members may view the original certificate by logging in at: <http://www.nmsdc.org>



An affiliate of the National Minority Supplier Development Council, Inc. (NMSDC)

**CERTIFICATIONS (SECTION 4)**

THE FOLLOWING CERTIFICATIONS ARE MADE PURSUANT TO STATE LAW AND THE CODE. THE UNDERSIGNED IS CAUTIONED TO CAREFULLY READ THESE CERTIFICATIONS PRIOR TO SIGNING THE SIGNATURE PAGE. SIGNING THE SIGNATURE PAGE SHALL CONSTITUTE A WARRANTY BY THE UNDERSIGNED THAT ALL THE STATEMENTS, CERTIFICATIONS AND INFORMATION SET FORTH WITHIN THESE CERTIFICATIONS ARE TRUE, COMPLETE AND CORRECT AS OF THE DATE THE SIGNATURE PAGE IS SIGNED. THE UNDERSIGNED IS NOTIFIED THAT IF THE COUNTY LEARNS THAT ANY OF THE FOLLOWING CERTIFICATIONS WERE FALSELY MADE, THAT ANY CONTRACT ENTERED INTO WITH THE UNDERSIGNED SHALL BE SUBJECT TO TERMINATION.

**A. PERSONS AND ENTITIES SUBJECT TO DISQUALIFICATION**

No person or business entity shall be awarded a contract or sub-contract, for a period of five (5) years from the date of conviction or entry of a plea or admission of guilt, civil or criminal, if that person or business entity:

- 1) Has been convicted of an act committed, within the State of Illinois, of bribery or attempting to bribe an officer or employee of a unit of state, federal or local government or school district in the State of Illinois in that officer's or employee's official capacity;
- 2) Has been convicted by federal, state or local government of an act of bid-rigging or attempting to rig bids as defined in the Sherman Anti-Trust Act and Clayton Act. Act. 15 U.S.C. Section 1 *et seq.*;
- 3) Has been convicted of bid-rigging or attempting to rig bids under the laws of federal, state or local government;
- 4) Has been convicted of an act committed, within the State, of price-fixing or attempting to fix prices as defined by the Sherman Anti-Trust Act and the Clayton Act. 15 U.S.C. Section 1, *et seq.*;
- 5) Has been convicted of price-fixing or attempting to fix prices under the laws the State;
- 6) Has been convicted of defrauding or attempting to defraud any unit of state or local government or school district within the State of Illinois;
- 7) Has made an admission of guilt of such conduct as set forth in subsections (1) through (6) above which admission is a matter of record, whether or not such person or business entity was subject to prosecution for the offense or offenses admitted to; or
- 8) Has entered a plea of *nolo contendere* to charge of bribery, price-fixing, bid-rigging, or fraud, as set forth in sub-paragraphs (1) through (6) above.

In the case of bribery or attempting to bribe, a business entity may not be awarded a contract if an official, agent or employee of such business entity committed the Prohibited Act on behalf of the business entity and pursuant to the direction or authorization of an officer, director or other responsible official of the business entity, and such Prohibited Act occurred within three years prior to the award of the contract. In addition, a business entity shall be disqualified if an owner, partner or shareholder controlling, directly or indirectly, 20 % or more of the business entity or an officer of the business entity has performed any Prohibited Act within five years prior to the award of the Contract.

***THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY CERTIFIES THAT:*** The Undersigned has read the provisions of Section A, Persons and Entities Subject to Disqualification, that the Undersigned has not committed any Prohibited Act set forth in Section A, and that award of the Contract to the Undersigned would not violate the provisions of such Section or of the Code.

**B. BID-RIGGING OR BID ROTATING**

***THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY CERTIFIES THAT:*** *In accordance with 720 ILCS 5/33 E-11, neither the Undersigned nor any Affiliated Entity is barred from award of this Contract as a result of a conviction for the violation of State laws prohibiting bid-rigging or bid rotating.*

**C. DRUG FREE WORKPLACE ACT**

***THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY CERTIFIES THAT:*** The Undersigned will provide a drug free workplace, as required by Public Act 86-1459 (30 ILCS 580/2-11).

**D. DELINQUENCY IN PAYMENT OF TAXES**

**THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY CERTIFIES THAT:** *The Undersigned is not an owner or a party responsible for the payment of any tax or fee administered by Cook County, by a local municipality, or by the Illinois Department of Revenue, which such tax or fee is delinquent, such as bar award of a contract or subcontract pursuant to the Code, Chapter 34, Section 34-129.*

**E. HUMAN RIGHTS ORDINANCE**

No person who is a party to a contract with Cook County ("County") shall engage in unlawful discrimination or sexual harassment against any individual in the terms or conditions of employment, credit, public accommodations, housing, or provision of County facilities, services or programs (Code Chapter 42, Section 42-30 *et seq.*).

**F. ILLINOIS HUMAN RIGHTS ACT**

**THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY CERTIFIES THAT:** *It is in compliance with the Illinois Human Rights Act (775 ILCS 5/2-105), and agrees to abide by the requirements of the Act as part of its contractual obligations.*

**G. MACBRIDE PRINCIPLES, CODE CHAPTER 34, SECTION 34-132**

If the primary contractor currently conducts business operations in Northern Ireland, or will conduct business during the projected duration of a County contract, the primary contractor shall make all reasonable and good faith efforts to conduct any such business operations in Northern Ireland in accordance with the MacBride Principles for Northern Ireland as defined in Illinois Public Act 85-1390.

**H. LIVING WAGE ORDINANCE PREFERENCE (COOK COUNTY CODE, CHAPTER 34, SECTION 34-127;**

The Code requires that a living wage must be paid to individuals employed by a Contractor which has a County Contract and by all subcontractors of such Contractor under a County Contract, throughout the duration of such County Contract. The amount of such living wage is determined from time to time by, and is available from, the Chief Financial Officer of the County.

For purposes of this EDS Section 4, H, "Contract" means any written agreement whereby the County is committed to or does expend funds in connection with the agreement or subcontract thereof. The term "Contract" as used in this EDS, Section 4, I, specifically excludes contracts with the following:

- 1) Not-For Profit Organizations (defined as a corporation having tax exempt status under Section 501(C)(3) of the United State Internal Revenue Code and recognized under the Illinois State not-for -profit law);
- 2) Community Development Block Grants;
- 3) Cook County Works Department;
- 4) Sheriff's Work Alternative Program; and
- 5) Department of Correction inmates.

**REQUIRED DISCLOSURES (SECTION 5)**

**1. DISCLOSURE OF LOBBYIST CONTACTS**

List all persons or entities that have made lobbying contacts on your behalf with respect to this contract:

Name	Address
NONE	

**2. LOCAL BUSINESS PREFERENCE DISCLOSURE; CODE, CHAPTER 34, SECTION 34-151(p);**

"Local Business" shall mean a person authorized to transact business in this State and having a bona fide establishment for transacting business located within Cook County at which it was actually transacting business on the date when any competitive solicitation for a public contract is first advertised or announced and further which employs the majority of its regular, full time work force within Cook County, including a foreign corporation duly authorized to transact business in this State and which has a bona fide establishment for transacting business located within Cook County at which it was actually transacting business on the date when any competitive solicitation for a public contract is first advertised or announced and further which employs the majority of its regular, full time work force within Cook County.

a) Is Bidder a "Local Business" as defined above?  
Yes:   X   No: \_\_\_\_\_

b) If yes, list business addresses within Cook County:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
140 S. Dearborn, 7th Floor  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
\_\_\_\_\_

c) Does Bidder employ the majority of its regular full-time workforce within Cook County?  
Yes:   X   No: \_\_\_\_\_

**3. THE CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT ORDINANCE (PREFERENCE (CODE, CHAPTER 34, SECTION 34-366)**

Every Applicant for a County Privilege shall be in full compliance with any child support order before such Applicant is entitled to receive or renew a County Privilege. When delinquent child support exists, the County shall not issue or renew any County Privilege, and may revoke any County Privilege.

All Applicants are required to review the Cook County Affidavit of Child Support Obligations attached to this EDS (EDS-8) and complete the following, based upon the definitions and other information included in such Affidavit.

**4. REAL ESTATE OWNERSHIP DISCLOSURES.**

The Undersigned must indicate by checking the appropriate provision below and providing all required information that either:

- a) The following is a complete list of all real estate owned by the Undersigned in Cook County:

PERMANENT INDEX NUMBER(S): 25-07-319-020-0000

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**(ATTACH SHEET IF NECESSARY TO LIST ADDITIONAL INDEX NUMBERS)**

OR:


- b) \_\_\_\_\_ The Undersigned owns no real estate in Cook County.

**5. EXCEPTIONS TO CERTIFICATIONS OR DISCLOSURES.**

If the Undersigned is unable to certify to any of the Certifications or any other statements contained in this EDS and not explained elsewhere in this EDS, the Undersigned must explain below:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

If the letters, "NA", the word "None" or "No Response" appears above, or if the space is left blank, it will be conclusively presumed that the Undersigned certified to all Certifications and other statements contained in this EDS.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Thomas G. Hennessy

**COOK COUNTY DISCLOSURE OF OWNERSHIP INTEREST STATEMENT**

The Cook County Code of Ordinances (§2-610 *et seq.*) requires that any Applicant for any County Action must disclose information concerning ownership interests in the Applicant. This Disclosure of Ownership Interest Statement must be completed with all information current as of the date this Statement is signed. Furthermore, this Statement must be kept current, by filing an amended Statement, until such time as the County Board or County Agency shall take action on the application. The information contained in this Statement will be maintained in a database and made available for public viewing.

If you are asked to list names, but there are no applicable names to list, you must state NONE. An incomplete Statement will be returned and any action regarding this contract will be delayed. A failure to fully comply with the ordinance may result in the action taken by the County Board or County Agency being voided.

"Applicant" means any Entity or person making an application to the County for any County Action.

"County Action" means any action by a County Agency, a County Department, or the County Board regarding an ordinance or ordinance amendment, a County Board approval, or other County agency approval, with respect to contracts, leases, or sale or purchase of real estate.

"Entity" or "Legal Entity" means a sole proprietorship, corporation, partnership, association, business trust, estate, two or more persons having a joint or common interest, trustee of a land trust, other commercial or legal entity or any beneficiary or beneficiaries thereof.

This Disclosure of Ownership Interest Statement must be submitted by :

1. An Applicant for County Action and
2. An individual or Legal Entity that holds stock or a beneficial interest in the Applicant and is listed on the Applicant's Statement (a "Holder") must file a Statement and complete #1 only under **Ownership Interest Declaration**.

Please print or type responses clearly and legibly. Add additional pages if needed, being careful to identify each portion of the form to which each additional page refers.

This Statement is being made by the  Applicant or  Stock/Beneficial Interest Holder

This Statement is an:  Original Statement or  Amended Statement

**Identifying Information:**

Name Hennessy & Roach, P.C. D/B/A: EIN NO.: 36-3883812

Street Address: 140 South Dearborn Street, 7th Floor

City: Chicago State: Illinois Zip Code: 60603

Phone No.: 312-346-5310

**Form of Legal Entity:**

Sole Proprietor  Partnership  Professional Corporation  Trustee of Land Trust

Business Trust  Estate  Association  Joint Venture

Other (describe) \_\_\_\_\_

**Ownership Interest Declaration:**

1. List the name(s), address, and percent ownership of each individual and each Entity having a legal or beneficial interest (including ownership) of more than five percent (5%) in the Applicant/Holder.

Name	Address	Percentage Interest in Applicant/Holder
Thomas G. Hennessy,	10144 S. Hoyne, Chicago, Illinois 60643	35.5%
James P. Roach,	1086 O'Malley Court, Lake Zurich, IL 60047	35.5%
Guy N. Maras,	87 Quail Hollow Court, Naperville, IL 60540	6%

2. If the interest of any individual or any Entity listed in (1) above is held as an agent or agents, or a nominee or nominees, list the name and address of the principal on whose behalf the interest is held.

Name of Agent/Nominee	Name of Principal	Principal's Address
n/a		

3. Is the Applicant constructively controlled by another person or Legal Entity? [ ] Yes [ X ] No  
 If yes, state the name, address and percentage of beneficial interest of such person or legal entity, and the relationship under which such control is being or may be exercised.

Name	Address	Percentage of Beneficial Interest	Relationship

**Declaration (check the applicable box):**

- [ X ] I state under oath that the Applicant has withheld no disclosure as to ownership interest in the Applicant nor reserved any information, data or plan as to the intended use or purpose for which the Applicant seeks County Board or other County Agency action.
- [ ] I state under oath that the Holder has withheld no disclosure as to ownership interest nor reserved any information required to be disclosed.

Thomas G. Hennessy  
 Name of Authorized Applicant/Holder Representative (please print or type)

[Signature]  
 Signature

thennessy@hennessyroach.com  
 E-mail address

President  
 Title


2/25/13  
 Date

(312) 346-5310  
 Phone Number

Subscribed to and sworn before me this 25<sup>th</sup> day of February 2013

My commission expires: 5/10/15

x [Signature]  
 Notary Public Signature





**COOK COUNTY BOARD OF ETHICS**

69 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 3040  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60602  
312/603-4304  
312/603-9988 FAX 312/603-1011 TT/TDD

**FAMILIAL RELATIONSHIP DISCLOSURE PROVISION:**

Section 2-582 of the Cook County Ethics Ordinance requires any person or persons doing business with Cook County, upon execution of a contract with Cook County, to disclose to the Cook County Board of Ethics the existence of familial relationships they may have with all persons holding elective office in the State of Illinois, the County of Cook, or in any municipality within the County of Cook.

The disclosure required by this section shall be filed by January 1 of each calendar year or within thirty (30) days of the execution of any contract or lease. Any person filing a late disclosure statement after January 31 shall be assessed a late filing fee of \$100.00 per day that the disclosure is late. Any person found guilty of violating any provision of this section or knowingly filing a false, misleading, or incomplete disclosure to the Cook County Board of Ethics shall be prohibited, for a period of three (3) years, from engaging, directly or indirectly, in any business with Cook County. *Note:* Please see Chapter 2 Administration, Article VII Ethics, Section 2-582 of the Cook County Code to view the full provisions of this section.

If you have questions concerning this disclosure requirement, please call the Cook County Board of Ethics at (312) 603-4304. *Note:* A current list of contractors doing business with Cook County is available via the Cook County Board of Ethics' website at: [http://www.cookcountygov.com/taxonomy/ethics/Listings/cc\\_ethics\\_VendorList\\_.pdf](http://www.cookcountygov.com/taxonomy/ethics/Listings/cc_ethics_VendorList_.pdf)

**DEFINITIONS:**

"*Calendar year*" means January 1 to December 31 of each year.

"*Doing business*" for this Ordinance provision means any one or any combination of leases, contracts, or purchases to or with Cook County or any Cook County agency in excess of \$25,000 in any calendar year.

"*Familial relationship*" means a person who is related to an official or employee as spouse or any of the following, whether by blood, marriage or adoption:

- |           |                   |                |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------|
| ▪ Parent  | ▪ Grandparent     | ▪ Stepfather   |
| ▪ Child   | ▪ Grandchild      | ▪ Stepmother   |
| ▪ Brother | ▪ Father-in-law   | ▪ Stepson      |
| ▪ Sister  | ▪ Mother-in-law   | ▪ Stepdaughter |
| ▪ Aunt    | ▪ Son-in-law      | ▪ Stepbrother  |
| ▪ Uncle   | ▪ Daughter-in-law | ▪ Stepsister   |
| ▪ Niece   | ▪ Brother-in-law  | ▪ Half-brother |
| ▪ Nephew  | ▪ Sister-in-law   | ▪ Half-sister  |

"*Person*" means any individual, entity, corporation, partnership, firm, association, union, trust, estate, as well as any parent or subsidiary of any of the foregoing, and whether or not operated for profit.

**SWORN FAMILIAL RELATIONSHIP DISCLOSURE FORM**

Pursuant to Section 2-582 of the Cook County Ethics Ordinance, any *person\* doing business\** with Cook County must disclose, to the Cook County Board of Ethics, the existence of *familial relationships\** to any person holding elective office in the State of Illinois, Cook County, or in any municipality within Cook County. Please print your responses.

Name of Owner/Employee: \_\_\_\_\_ Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Business Entity Name: Hennessy & Roach, P.C Phone: (312) 346-5310

Business Entity Address: 140 S. Dearborn Street, 7th Floor, Chicago, IL 60603

\_\_\_\_\_ The following familial relationship exists between the owner or any employee of the business entity contracted to do business with Cook County *and* any person holding elective office in the State of Illinois, Cook County, or in any municipality within Cook County.

Owner/Employee Name:	Related to:	Relationship:
1. _____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____

If more space is needed, attach an additional sheet following the above format.

There is *no* familial relationship that exists between the owner or any employee of the business entity contracted to do business with Cook County and any person holding elective office in the State of Illinois, Cook County, or in any municipality within Cook County.

To the best of my knowledge and belief, the information provided above is true and complete.

[Signature] \_\_\_\_\_ Date 2/25/13

Subscribe and sworn before me this 25<sup>th</sup> Day of February, 20 13

a Notary Public in and for COOK County

[Signature]  
(Signature)

My Commission expires 5/10/15



Completed Form to be filed with Cook County Board of Ethics within 30 days of the execution of any contract or lease with Cook County and should be mailed to:

Cook County Board of Ethics  
69 West Washington Street,  
Suite 3040  
Chicago, Illinois 60602

**SIGNATURE BY A CORPORATION**  
(SECTION 9)

The Undersigned hereby certifies and warrants: that all of the statements, certifications, and representations set forth in this EDS are true, complete and correct; that the Undersigned is in full compliance and will continue to be in compliance throughout the term of the Contract or County Privilege issued to the Undersigned with all the policies and requirements set forth in this EDS; and that all of the facts and information provided by the Undersigned in this EDS are true, complete and correct. The Undersigned agrees to inform the Chief Procurement Officer in writing if any of such statements, certifications, representations, facts or information becomes or is found to be untrue, incomplete or incorrect during the term of the Contract or County Privilege.

BUSINESS NAME: Hennessy & Roach, P.C.

BUSINESS ADDRESS: 140 S. Dearborn, 7th Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60603

BUSINESS TELEPHONE: 312-346-5310 FAX NUMBER: 312-346-5330

CONTACT PERSON: John J. Murphy (312) 346-5328

FEIN: 36-3883812 \*IL CORPORATE FILE NUMBER: 57299878

LIST THE FOLLOWING CORPORATE OFFICERS:

PRESIDENT: Thomas G. Hennessy VICE PRESIDENT: James P. Roach

SECRETARY: n/a TREASURER: n/a

\*\*SIGNATURE OF PRESIDENT: [Signature]

ATTEST: [Signature] (CORPORATE SECRETARY)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this  
21 day of February, 2013

x [Signature]  
Notary Public Signature

My commission expires: 5/10/15




\* If the corporation is not registered in the State of Illinois, a copy of the Certificate of Good Standing from the state of incorporation must be submitted with this Signature Page.

\*\* In the event that this Signature Page is signed by any persons than the President and Secretary, attach either a certified copy of the corporate by-laws, resolution or other authorization by the corporation, authorizing such persons to sign the Signature Page on behalf of the corporation.

COOK COUNTY SIGNATURE PAGE  
(SECTION 10)

ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY OF COOK, A BODY POLITIC AND CORPORATE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THIS CONTRACT IS HEREBY EXECUTED BY:



COOK COUNTY CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER

DATED AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS THIS 23 DAY OF May, 2013.

IN THE CASE OF A BID PROPOSAL, THE COUNTY HEREBY ACCEPTS:

THE FOREGOING BID/PROPOSAL AS IDENTIFIED IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS FOR CONTRACT NUMBER  
13-90-048

OR

ITEM(S), SECTION(S), PART(S): \_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL AMOUNT OF CONTRACT: \$ Depends Upon Requirements (DUR)  
(DOLLARS AND CENTS)

FUND CHARGEABLE: \_\_\_\_\_

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

NOT REQUIRED

ASSISTANT STATE'S ATTORNEY  
(Required on contracts over \$1,000,000.00)

APPROVED AS AMENDED  
BY THE BOARD OF COOK COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

APR 17 2013

COM \_\_\_\_\_